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**Wound healing Triterpenoid from Leaves of *Jatropha tanjorensis***

**ABSTRACT**

The term “wound” is defined as a disruption of normal anatomical structure. Therefore, “healing” is the complex and dynamic process that results in the restoration of anatomical continuity and function. Albino Wistar rats (150-180 g) of both sexes were selected to carry out the experiment of Wound Healing Activity by Excision Wound Model and Incision Wound Model by the simple ointment B.P., reference standard drug (0.2% w/w nitrofurazone ointment), stigmasterol ointment (0.2% w/w), hexane, chloroform and methanol extract ointments of 3%, 4% and 5% w/w of leaves in *Jatropha tanjorensis* in simple ointment base (where 3g, 4g and 5 g of the extracts was incorporated in 100 g of simple ointment separately). The time for wound closure to methanol extract ointment (5% w/w) and stigmasterol (0.2% w/w) was similar to that of standard drug, nitrofurazone ointment (0.2% w/w) 16 ± 2 days in Excision Wound Model. The significant tensile strength at 3%: 4%: 5% w/w methanol extract ointments (p<0.001), followed by chloroform extract and hexane extract. Stigmasterol ointment at 0.2% w/w produced tensile strength comparable with Standard drug, nitrofurazone ointment (0.2% w/w) (p<0.001) in Incision Wound Model. Kirithikar and basu stated that the juice of the *Jatropha* plant and the pounded leaves are applied to wounds and refractory ulcers. The juice is very successfully used to treat scabies, eczema and ringworm. The present study proved that the leaves may be used in wound condition.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The genus *Jatropha* belongs to tribe Joanneasiae of Crotonoideae in the Euphorbiaceae family and contains approximately 175 species, cultivated throughout the tropical to temperate regions of the world. The name is derived from the [Greek](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Greek_language) words ἰατρός (*iatros*), meaning "physician," and τροφή (*trophe*), meaning "nutrition".. Homeopathically it is used for cold sweats, colic, collapse cramps, cyanosis, diarrhea, and leg cramps. The root, stem, leaves, fruit, seed, bark and latex of the plant are largely used for the treatment of many diseases in different parts of the world 1. The juice of the plant and the pounded leaves are applied to wounds and refractory ulcers. The juice is very successfully used to treat scabies, eczema and ringworm2.

Leaves are regarded as antiparasitic, and applied to scabies, rubefacient for paralysis, rheumatism; also applied to hard tumors 3. According to Ochse 4, “The young leaves may be safely eaten, steamed or stewed”. They are favored for cooking with goat meat, said to counteract the peculiar smell. It is reported to be abortifacient, anodyne, antiseptic, cicatrizant, depurative, diuretic, emetic, hemostat, lactogogue, narcotic, purgative, rubefacient, styptic, vermifuge, and vulnerary5,6. Latex is applied topically to bee and wasp stings7and to dress sores and ulcers and inflamed tongues8. Duke and Wain 6 list it for homicide, piscicide, and raticide as well. Colombians drink the leaf decoction for venereal disease 9. The latex was strongly inhibitory to watermelon mosaic virus10.

*Jatropha tanjorensis* J.L.Ellis & Saroja in J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc. 58: 834. 1962. Shrubs, 3-4 m high; stem long, stout, dichotomously branched; branches puberulous when young, glabrous when mature.Distribution: INDIA: Tiruchirappalli, Pudukottai, Thanjavur and Ramanathapuram Districts in Tamil Nadu and Pondicherry. West Africa including Nigeria: Weed of field crops, bush re-growth, roadside and disturbed places in the higher rainfall zones. The aim of the present study is to study the wound healing effects of leaves on *Jatropha tanjorensis* against Excision Wound and Incision Wound in rats. This plant has not been previously studied for their wound healing effect.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

 **Plant Material**

Leaves of *Jatropha tanjorensis* were collected in the vicinity of Pondicherry during August and September 2004. An authentic herbarium specimen (MBV & JJ 14774) was prepared and deposited in the Herbarium of the Centre for Research and Development in Siddha-Ayurveda Medicines (CRDSAM), Department of Plant Science, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli 620 024, Tamil Nadu, India, for reference.

**Preparation of Plant Extracts**

The collected plants were dried at room temperature, pulverized by a mechanical grinder, sieved through 40 mesh. The powdered materials were extracted with hexane, chloroform and methanol using Soxhlet extraction apparatus. The chloroform and methanolic extract was then concentrated and dried under reduced pressure. The methanol free semi-solid mass thus obtained was used for the experiment11.

**Pharmacology**

Toxicity of both the extracts and isolated compounds was fixed for biological evaluation following the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) guidelines (2001). LD50 valueswere calculated with no sign of acute toxicity at >2000 mg/kg for the extracts and 10 mg/kg for the isolated compounds. Animal studies were performed with the approval of the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC) in Arulmigu Kalasalingam College of Pharmacy, Krishnan Koil 626126 (Reg. No. 509/01/C/CPCSEA - Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision on Experimental Animals, Department of Animal Welfare, Government of India (No.412). Experimental animals housed under standard conditions were fed with standard diet (Lipton India Ltd., Bangalore) and water *ad libitum* in the Animal House, and maintained at room temperature under suitable nutritional and environmental conditions throughout the experiment. Fine chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO 63103, and S.D. Fine Chemicals, Mumbai, India, and other chemicals from SISCO Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India.

 **Wound Healing Activity**

**Excision Wound Model**

Albino Wistar rats (150-180 g) of both sexes were selected to carry out the experiment. Twelve groups of 6 animals in each group were anaesthetized with ether. The rats were depilated at the back and a predetermined area of 500 mm2 full thickness skin was excised at the dorsal interscapular region. Rat wounds were left undressed to the open environment. This model was used to monitor wound contraction and epithelization time. The simple ointment B.P., reference standard drug (0.2% w/w nitrofurazone ointment), stigmasterol ointment (0.2% w/w), hexane, chloroform and methanol extract ointments of 3%, 4% and 5% w/w in simple ointment base (where 3g, 4g and 5 g of the extracts was incorporated in 100 g of simple ointment separately) were applied everyday till the wound was completely healed. The progressive changes in wound area were monitored planimetrically by tracing the wound margin on a graph paper every alternate day. The changes in healing of wound, i.e., the measurement of wound area on graph paper were expressed as unit (mm2). Wound contraction was expressed as percentage reduction of original wound size 12,13(Udupa *et al.*, 1994a; Saha *et al.*, 1997).

 **Incision Wound Model**

Twelve groups of 6 animals in each group were taken and anaesthetized under light ether anesthesia. The incision wound 14,13 with one full thickness paravertebral incision of 6 cm length was made including the cutaneous muscles of the depilated back of each rat. Full aseptic measures were not taken and no local or systemic antimicrobials were used throughout the experiment. After incision, the parted skin was kept together and stitched with sutures, 1 cm apart. The continuous threads on both wound edges were tightened for good adaptation of wound and it was left undressed. The ointment of all the extracts 3%, 4% and 5% w/w, stigmasterol ointment (0.2% w/w), standard drug (nitrofurazone ointment) and simple ointment B.P. were applied to the wound twice daily, until complete recovery to the respective groups of animals 14,15.

**Measurement of Healing**

On the 9th day after wounding, the sutures were removed and tensile strength was measured on 10th day. For measuring the tensile strength, the rats were again anesthetized and each rat was placed on a stack of towels at the middle of the board. The amount of the towels could be adjusted in such a way so that the wound was at the same level as the tips of the arms. The clamps were then carefully clamped on the skin of the opposite edges of the wound. The longer pieces of the fishing line were placed on the pulley and finally to the polyethylene bottle. The position of board was adjusted to rate water from a large reservoir, until the wound began to open. The amount of water in the polyethylene bottle was weighed and equated as the tensile strength of the wound. The tensile strength induced by the extracts, stigmasterol ointment (0.2% w/w), and the nitrofurazone ointment-treated wounds was compared with Control.

**RESULTS**

**Excision Wound Model**

Wound area (mm2) and the percentage of wound contraction produced by different extract ointments (3%: 4%: 5% w/w) were respectively such as 12 ± 10.30; 97.69%: 10 ± 8.40; 98.06%: 9 ± 6.20; 98.07% (p<0.001) to methanol extract ointment and 8.9 ± 5.6; 99.00% (p<0.001) to stigmasterol (0.2% w/w) on 16th day; and 10 ± 0.40; 98.11%: 9 ± 0.30; 98.29%: 7 ± 0.20; 98.66% to hexane extract and 9 ± 0.70; 98.30 %: 8 ± 0.40%; 98.48%: 6 ± 0.20; 98.85% to chloroform extract on 18th day. The time for wound closure to methanol extract ointment (5% w/w) and stigmasterol (0.2% w/w) was similar to that of standard drug, nitrofurazone ointment (0.2% w/w) 16 ± 2 days (Table 1; Fig. 1).

 **Incision Wound Model**

The sutures were removed and measured tensile strength (in g ± S.E.M.) at 3%: 4%: 5% w/w of different extract ointments was 578 ± 10.20: 581 ± 10.40: 584 ± 10.90 (p<0.001) to methanol extract, 528 ± 9.50: 532 ± 9.70: 535 ± 10.10 to chloroform extract and 519 ± 9.60: 521 ± 9.80: 526 ± 10.00 (p<0.001) to hexane extract. Stigmasterol ointment at 0.2% w/w produced tensile strength of 591 ± 14.60 (p<0.001). Standard drug, nitrofurazone ointment (0.2% w/w) produced significant increase of tensile strength such as 597 ± 14.80 (p<0.001) (Table 2; Fig. 2).

DISCUSSION

The term “wound” is defined as a disruption of normal anatomical structure and more importantly function. Therefore, “healing” is the complex and dynamic process that results in the restoration of anatomical continuity and function16. Acute wounds normally heal in a very orderly and efficient manner characterized by four distinct but overlapping phases such as hemostasis, inflammation, proliferation and remodeling17. These steps are orchestrated in a controlled manner by a variety of bioactive molecules like growth factors, cytokines, their receptors and matrix molecules18. Such a controlled phenomenon can be disrupted in diseases like diabetes, immuno-compromised persons, ischemia, etc., thus leading to the development of a chronic wound. Prolonged or incomplete wound healing is then a troublesome complication19.

 **Excision Wound Model**

Nath and Dutta20 isolated the protease namely curcain from the latex of *J. curcas* and reported its effective wound healing property by excision wound model in mice. Ointments of 0.5% and 1.0% (w/w) curcain were compared with 0.2% (w/w) nitrofurazone ointment and 0.15% (w/w) propamidine isethionate cream. Curcain ointments healed the wound completely within 10 days of treatment whereas the nitrofurazone ointment and propamidine isethionate cream took 15 and 20 days respectively to show the same results.

Esimone *et al*.21 tested ointments at 0.5 g/10 g, 1 g/10 g and 1.5 g/10 g of the methanol leaf extract in *J. curcas*, standard gentamycin and blank ointment on the excision wound in rats and their respective wound area on 21 day was 1.33 ± 0.07, 0.2 ± 0.03, 0.00 ± 0.00, 0.00 ± 0.00, and 3.90 ± 0.28 and epithelization period was 17.80 ± 0.84, 17.00 ± 0.71, 14.80 ± 0.45, 14.60 ± 0.90 and 18.80 ± 0.84. Methanol leaf extract ointments 1 g/10 g and 1.5 g/10 g and gentamycin showed significantly higher rate of wound healing (P<0.05) and reduced epitheliazation period.

The results in the present study showed respective wound area and the percentage of wound contraction for the methanol leaf extract ointments at 3% w/w: 4% w/w: 5% w/w as 12 ± 10.30; 97.69%: 10 ± 8.40; 98.06%: and 8.9 ± 5.6; 99.00% (p<0.001) on 16th day and 9 ± 0.70; 98.30%: 8 ± 0.40%; 98.48%: 6 ± 0.20; 98.85% to chloroform extract and 10 ± 0.40%; 98.11: 9 ± 0.30; 98.29%: 7 ± 0.20; 98.66% to hexane extract on 18th day. Stigmasterol (0.2% w/w) produced 9 ± 6.2; 98.07% (p<0.001) on 16th day. The standard drug, nitrofurazone ointment (0.2% w/w) produced 0.00; 100% on 16th day. The time for wound closure to methanol extract ointment (5% w/w) and stigmasterol (0.2% w/w) was similar to that of nitrofurazone ointment (0.2% w/w) 16 ± 2 days. The results suggested that topical application of the test drugs in animals significantly enhanced the rate of wound healing as assessed by wound area and the percentage of wound contraction. The time of wound closure for the ointments was observed such as 14±2 days to standard drug, 16±2 days to methanol extract and stigmasterol, 18±2 days to chloroform and hexane extract in a dose-dependent manner. Increasing the concentration of the extract is directly proportionate to the increase in wound contraction percentage (Table 1; Fig. 1). Treated excision wounds showed an increased rate of wound contraction, leading to faster healing as confirmed by the increased wound healing area compared to control.

**Incision** **wound model**

Tensile strength for control was 432±13.30 g (Table 2; Fig. 2). The increased tensile strength was 591±14.60 g, 584±10.90 g, 535±10.10 g and 526±10.00 g for stigmasterol, methanol, chloroform and hexane extracts (5% w/w) respectively. While the standard ointment-treated group showed 597±14.80 g. At 4% and 3% w/w, the extracts showed decreased tensile strength such as 581±10.40 g and 578±10.20 g to methanol extract, 532±9.70 g and 528±9.50 g to chloroform extract and 521±9.80 g and 519±9.60 g to hexane extract. It is well-accepted that several local growth factors help in the wound healing process. It is possible that the test extracts may have a growth factor-like activity or have the ability to stimulate the expression of growth factors like the basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF). The bFGF has the broadest range of target cells such as endothelial cells, fibroblasts, myoblasts, etc. 22. Wound contraction is mediated by specialized myofibroblasts found in the granulated tissue23. The increase in tensile strength of treated wounds may be due to increase in collagen concentration and stabilization of the fibers12,24.

**Excision and Incision Wound Models**

Shetty *et al.* 25 (2006) recorded very effective property to accelerate wound healing process to the crude bark extract of *J. curcas* in Wistar albino rats. Odoh *et al.*26(2010) reported the wound healing property of methanol leaf extract in *J. curcas* by incision and excision wound models in rats. The wound healing effect at 200 mg/kg was compared to that of standard, cicatrin, and showed significant healing potential (P<0.05) in dose-dependent manner for the increase in wound contraction rate and skin breaking strength and decrease in epithelization period.

Further, secondary infections by microbes in the wounds may further aggravate the conditions. Some of the important organisms include Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pyogenes, Corynebacterium species, Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa wherein the most common are Staphylococcus aureus and β-hemolytic Streptococcus species 27 which are considered as “transient flora” of the skin 28. Pseudomonas aeruginosa is the predominant organism, which causes air-borne infection and its frequency of infection is more in burn patients. Infected wounds heal more slowly and have an increased incidence of scarring29. Mycotic infections are also an important etiology of these infections, most of them are caused by dermatophytes and other related fungi. A wide range of antibiotics are being used at present for healing wounds and for treating wound infections but they are now proved to have adverse effects in the human body. In view of these developments, so much of attention has been paid recently to the extracts of biologically active compounds isolated from plant species used in herbal medicinal system 30.

Pro-inflammatory cytokines were implicated to stimulate the synthesis of platelet activating factors by the recruited monocytes which in turn induce several angiogenic factors and chemokines 31. Moon et al. 32(1999) reported the pronounced improvement of type - I collagen material invasion by β-sitosterol which acts as an angiogenic factor in wound healing. Angiogenesis is the growth of new vascular capillary channels from pre-existing vessels and is of fundamental importance in a number of physiological processes such as embryonic development, reproduction, wound healing and bone repair 33.

When wounding occurs it is accompanied within quite a short time by pain, reddening and edema of the surrounding tissue. These are all classical symptoms of inflammation and are caused by the release of the eicosanoids, prostaglandins and leutkotrienes and of ROS.

The release of other factors such as the cytokines is also important which is caused by neutrophils aggregating at the wound site and producing proteolytic enzymes and ROS as antimicrobial defenses and as aids to the debridement of dead tissue. As the test extracts of *J. tanjorensis* exhibited potent anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activities these properties could have contributed wound healing in part.

Apart from the role of antioxidants in removing products of inflammation, they are also beneficial in wound healing in some other means. Antioxidants counter the excess proteases and ROS often formed by neutrophils accumulation in the wound area and protect protease inhibitors from oxidative damage. Fibroblasts and other cells may be killed by excess ROS and skin lipids will be made less flexible. So, antioxidant substances will reduce the possibility of occurrence of these adverse events. Because of these factors, overall antioxidant effects appear to be important in the successful treatment of wounds34 (Houghton et al., 2005). In the present study, the test extracts were shown to be strongly antioxidant due to the presence of flavonoids
and polyphenols.

Open wounds are particularly prone to infection, especially by bacteria and superficial mycotic agents and also provide an entry point for systemic infections. Infected wounds heal less rapidly and also often result in the formation of unpleasant exudates and toxins that will be produced with concomitant killing of regenerating cells34. Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pyogenes and Pseudomonas aeruginosa are the most common wound pathogens with ≥ 103 CFU/g tissues which were classified as infection35. Antimicrobial activity in the present study showed that the test extracts were active against both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria and Candida albicanswhich are especially active against the superficial skin infection causing keratinophilic fungi, dermatophytes. The presence of saponins, flavonoids and other phenolics in the test extracts and their potent polyvalent activity due to their anti-inflammatory, antioxidant and antimicrobial properties could have contributed to the wound healing because of their detergent ability to remove grease, dirt and bacteria from tissue and act as antimicrobials36,37. Methanol extract exhibited better activity than of all. Further, the wound healing property of stigmasterol isolated from methanol extract supports the present findings totally.

**CONCLUSION**

**Excision Wound Model**

The time for wound closure to methanol extract ointment (5% w/w) and stigmasterol (0.2% w/w) is similar to that of nitrofurazone ointment (0.2% w/w) 16 ± 2 days and 18±2 days to chloroform and hexane extract in a dose-dependent manner.

**Incision** **wound model**

Activity is in the order of stigmasterol, methanol, chloroform and hexane extracts (5% w/w) respectively.

 **Excision and Incision Wound Models**

Test extracts exhibit potent anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activities due to the presence of flavonoids and polyphenols which could have contributed wound healing in part; and Activity against Candida albicansindicates healing capacity of superficial skin infection.
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**Table 1. Effect of various solvent extract of leaves and stigmasterol ointments on excision wounds**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Treatment** | **Dosage** | **Wound area (mm2) (% of wound contraction)****Post wounding days** |
| **0 day** | **4th day** | **8th day** |  **12th day**  | **16th day** | **18th day**  |
| Control | 2%w/w | 521±20.00(0.00) | 458±13.50(11.10) | 368±14.10(28.10) | 278±13.30(44.10) | 207±10.80(59.60) | 179±13.80(63.70) |
| Nitrofurazone ointment  | 0.2%w/w | 512±38.80(0.00) | 333±18.40(34.50) | 102±9.70\*(79.70) | 30±2.00\*(94.00) | 0.00\*(100.00) | 0.00\*(100.00) |
| Hexaneextract   | 3% w/w | 531±24.20 (0.00) | 463±18.70(12.80) | 288±17.60(45.76) | 105±12.80(80.18) | 31±6.70(94.16) | 10±0.40(98.11) |
| 4% w/w | 528±23.40(0.00) | 461±20.60(12.68) | 282±15.20(46.59) | 100±10.60(62.10) | 29±4.60(94.50) | 9±0.30(98.29) |
| 5% w/w | 523±22.30(0.00) | 457±18.20(12.60) | 279±13.90(46.65) | 99±8.40(80.90) | 26±2.50(95.02) | 7±0.20(98.66) |
| ChloroformExtract | 3% w/w | 532±23.20(0.00) | 455±18.60(14.47) | 276±13.60(48.12) | 100±8.20(81.20) | 25±2.20(94.17) | 9±0.70 (98.30) |
| 4% w/w | 529±22.60(0.00) | 453±18.20(14.36) | 273±13.30(48.39) | 99±7.60(81.28) | 28±2.40(94.70) | 8±0.4(98.48) |
| 5% w/w | 524±21.80(0.00) | 449±12.80(14.31) | 269±12.80(48.66) | 96±7.40(81.56) | 31±2.60(95.22) | 6±0.20 (98.85) |
| MethanolExtract | 3% w/w | 521±38.40(0.00) | 323±16.20(38.00) | 197±14.20(62.18) | 82±8.20(84.26) | 12±10.3(97.69) | 0.00(100.00) |
| 4% w/w | 518±37.20(0.00) | 320±14.40(38.22) | 194±13.30(62.54) | 79±8.20(84.74) | 10±8.4(98.06) | 0.00(100.00) |
| 5% w/w | 515±36.70(0.00) | 316±12.40(38.64) | 191±11.20(62.91) | 77±5.90(83.88) | 9±6.20(98.07) | 0.0\*(100.00) |
| Stigmasterol | 0.2%w/w | 513±35.70(0.00) | 309±13.40(37.80) | 178±10.50(57.80) | 69±5.20\*(85.30) | 8±5.60\*(99.00) | 0.00\*(100.00) |

Values are mean ± S.E.M. of six animals of each group \*P<0.001 Vs respective control by student “t” test;

figures in parentheses represent percentage of wound contraction

**Table 2. Effect of various solvent extracts of leaves and stigmasterol**

 **ointments on incision wounds in *J. tanjorensis***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Treatment** | **Dosage** | **Tensile strength in (g)** |
| Control | 2% w/w |  432.00±13.30 |
| Nitrofurazone  | 0.2% w/w | 597.00±14.80\* |
| Hexane extract  | 3% w/w |  519.00±9.60 |
| 4% w/w |  521.00±9.80 |
| 5% w/w |  526.00±10.00\* |
| Chloroform extract  | 3% w/w |  528.00±9.50 |
| 4% w/w |  532.00±9.70 |
| 5% w/w |  535.00±10.10 |
| Methanol extract  | 3% w/w |  578.00±10.20\* |
| 4% w/w |  581.00±10.40\* |
| 5% w/w |  584.00±10.90\* |
| Stigmasterol  | 0.2% w/w |  591.00± 14.60\* |

Values are S.E.M. of six animals of each group; P<0.001 Vs Control by Student “t” test

 