

DIABETOGENIC EFFECT OF STATINS: MOLECULAR MECHANISMS

ABSTRACT

Statins, hydroxymethyl glutaryl coenzyme A reductase (HMG CoA) inhibitors, are potent hypolipidemic drugs. They are widely used in the primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular diseases and some of the most commonly prescribed medications worldwide. Statin therapy is associated with a modest increase in the risk of new-onset diabetes after long term therapy, and there has been great interest in the possible mechanisms for this adverse effect. Multiple molecular mechanisms have been proposed primarily related to increased insulin resistance and decreased insulin secretion. The risk for statin-related diabetes depends on many factors including age, pre-existing diabetic risk, type and potency of statin. But the consensus is that the benefits of statins in preventing cardiovascular disease events clearly outweigh the potential risk of diabetes. The aim of this review is to give underlying pathomechanisms and clinical relevance of diabetogenic effect of statins.

Key words: statins, diabetogenicity, adverse effect, mechanism, risk

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of mortality worldwide, and hypercholesterolemia is one of the major CVD risk factors¹. Statins (HMGCoA reductase inhibitors) were first approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1987 and remain the first-choice drugs for their potent cholesterol-lowering effects. Since then statins have become the most prescribed drugs worldwide^{2,3}. In addition to lowering LDL-C, statins have shown pleiotropic effects facilitating cardioprotective properties including improvement of endothelial dysfunction, antioxidant properties, inhibition of inflammation and stabilization of atherosclerotic plaques⁴⁻⁸. Other pleiotropic mechanisms of statins are improvement of bone diseases and central nervous system diseases⁷. On the other hand, despite well - known clinical benefit of statins on CV prevention, their possible adverse effects cannot be disregarded⁹⁻¹¹. As the prescription rates for statins have increased, more adverse effects have been identified, with the most common being increased liver enzymes and myopathy¹. However, major statin trials indicate a definite increase in the development of new-onset diabetes mellitus (NODM) with statin therapy, especially in the presence of pre-existing diabetic risk factors, such as older age, obesity, woman, impaired glycemic control and insulin resistance, patients with lower baseline LDL (low density lipoprotein)-C levels, lower achieved LDL-C levels, and a large LDL-C level reduction^{10,12}. Data suggest a 10-22% higher risk of NODM with statin use compared to nonusers. The risk increases with intensive treatment^{13,14}. While this effect has been thought to be a drug class effect, recent data suggest that pravastatin and pitavastatin could exhibit neutral effects on glycaemic parameters in patients with and without diabetes¹³.

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a strong, independent risk factor for CV outcomes¹⁵. As T2DM is accompanied by dyslipidemia, statins have a major role in preventing the long term complications in diabetes and are recommended for diabetics with normal LDL-C levels as well¹⁶. The benefits of statins on CV risk reduction outweigh any harm related to their diabetogenic effect.

Currently, there are seven statin drugs available on the market: Pitavastatin, atorvastatin, rosuvastatin, pravastatin, simvastatin, fluvastatin and lovastatin. They are classified

according to their hydrophobicity into hydrophilic statins (pravastatin and rosuvastatin) and lipophilic statins (atorvastatin, fluvastatin, lovastatin, pitavastatin and simvastatin).

NODM risk was first demonstrated in the JUPITER trial (*Justification for the Use of Statins in Primary Prevention: an Intervention Trial Evaluation Rosuvastatin*) in 2008, and then greater attention has been given to this issue^{17,18}. Since that time the literature has largely supported this increased risk with other statins as well¹⁹⁻²³. Treatment with high doses resulted in a further increase by 10%, but there were no new cases of NODM among patients with no diabetes risk factors at baseline in JUPITER study²⁴. In March 2012, the FDA decided there was sufficient evidence to warrant a warning about increased diabetes risk being added to the packaging of all statins²⁵. It appears though that the risk of diabetes is limited to people already at high risk for developing diabetes such as the elderly, women, obese, those with the metabolic syndrome, Asian ancestry, and patients with CVD and hypertension²⁶⁻²⁸. The trials did not indicate an increased risk of diabetes-related complications, such as nephropathy, neuropathy and retinopathy with statin therapy.

The effects of different statins on the risk of NODM are controversial. In the first two years of regular statin use, Dormuth et al.²⁹ reported that higher potency statin use is associated with a moderate increase in the risk of NODM compared with lower potency statins in patients treated for secondary prevention of CVD. It appears the risk is in parallel with their HMGCoA reductase inhibition capacity. It was suggested that lipophilic statins are more diabetogenic than the hydrophilic ones²⁹⁻³¹. Although structural differences based on lipophilic or hydrophilic features have been proposed to be the possible mechanisms of statin-induced NODM⁹ recent clinical studies have demonstrated no apparent difference in the diabetogenic effects between lipophilic and hydrophilic statins³². Thus we need more data to determine potential differences among individual statins. Accumulating evidence from several statin trials, population-based studies, clinical studies, and *in vitro* studies suggests that pravastatin is the least diabetogenic statin³³. In a new cohort study, compared with rosuvastatin, less diabetogenic effect of pitavastatin were observed among patients treated with moderate-intensity statin regimen³².

Molecular mechanisms

Studies suggest that the effect of statins on glucose homeostasis reflect inhibition of HMGCoA reductase. *In vitro* and *in vivo* data indicate that statins reduce synthesis of mevalonate pathway products leading to impaired pancreatic β -cell function and decreased insulin sensitivity and insulin release, but the exact mechanism(s) of diabetogenesis are still unclear¹³. It seems decreased insulin secretion is the major contributor to statin-induced diabetes^{1,34,35}. Several molecular mechanisms have been proposed: Certain statins affect insulin secretion through direct, indirect or combined effects on calcium channels in pancreatic β -cells; Downregulation of GLUT4 results in hyperglycemia; Decreases important downstream products, such as coenzyme Q10, farnesyl pyrophosphate, geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate and their depletion leads to reduced intracellular signalling. Other possible mechanisms are inhibition of adipocyte differentiation, modulation of leptin and adiponectin. Genetic links and epigenetic regulations via differential expression of specific microRNAs have also important roles^{1,14,32,36}.

Pancreatic β -Cells and L-type Ca^{2+} channels

It is well known that opening of voltage gated Ca^{2+} (CaV; L-type) channels have an important role in insulin secretion in the pancreatic β -cells. Functional changes or levels of Ca^{2+} channels impair glucose homeostasis⁷. It is suggested that chronic inhibition of cholesterol biosynthesis may disrupt the functional activity of CaV channels and insulin secretory granule mobilization and membrane fusion³⁷. There is no clear mechanism proposed for this

phenomena however these effects may be due to conformational changes of the channel subunits^{1,14,23}.

On the other hand, statins exert their beneficial pleiotropic effects on the CV system by activating ATP-dependent potassium (K_{ATP}) channels in the CV tissue. However, simultaneous activation of K_{ATP} channels in the pancreatic β -cells leads to inhibition of insulin release which may lead to diabetes³⁸.

Glucose transporters and caveolin

Statins can reduce mRNA and protein expression of GLUT2 (glucose transporter 2: predominant isoform in β -cells) and CaV channel, thereby inhibiting insulin synthesis and secretion. Intracellular glucose uptake via GLUT2 initiates phosphorylation by glucose kinase and subsequently K_{ATP} and CaV channel - mediated signalling cascades for the synthesis and secretion of insulin. Inhibition of HMG CoA reductase by statin can suppress synthesis of isoprenoids which in turn also inhibits the expression of GLUT4 (another rate-limiting protein for glucose transport), leading to impaired glucose uptake. In the late adipocyte differentiation stages, it was demonstrated a decrease in caveolin-1, an important plasma membrane protein present in caveolae-rich regions where GLUT4 is translocated upon stimulation by insulin^{1,12}. Khan et al³⁹ reported an inhibition of caveolar vesicle docking in mice treated with simvastatin. Takaguri et al⁴⁰ also found that after atorvastatin, but not pravastatin treatment, total GLUT4 level and the translocation were altered. Decreased glucose uptake and increased insulin resistance in adipose tissue, muscle and liver which may be due to reduced translocation of GLUT4, and consequently leads to hyperglycemia¹⁴.

Insulin Receptor Substrate and Insulin Signalling

Several disturbances in insulin signal transduction mediated by statin treatment have been described in different tissues leading to insulin resistance¹⁴. It is suggested that statins reduce insulin signal transduction via inhibition of necessary phosphorylation events and altering cellular distribution of small G proteins¹. Insulin receptor substrate (IRS-1) is critical for insulin signalling and is phosphorylated in response to insulin binding to the insulin receptor (IR). Through the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) pathway, Akt becomes phosphorylated and mediates glucose uptake by controlling GLUT4 translocation to the plasma membrane. Atorvastatin treatment decreased phosphorylation of IRS-1 and Akt in a dose-dependent manner. Lovastatin has been shown to inhibit the phosphorylation of the IR β -subunit and prevent the association of PI3K with the IRS-1/IR complex. Another study found that atorvastatin treatment downregulated IRS-1 and IR β -subunit levels during adipocyte differentiation. However, Takaguri et al⁴⁰ found no change in mRNA level, protein expression or phosphorylation of IR in adipocytes treated with atorvastatin or pravastatin. They observed changes in GLUT4 with atorvastatin, and concluded that inhibition of its translocation was not due to changes in IR, but rather the intracellular transduction of the signal. The proteins RhoA and Rab4 are small G proteins involved in the insulin signal transduction via modification of IRS-1 and Akt phosphorylation. Rab4 is crucial for glucose transport. In adipocytes, it is involved in the transport of GLUT4 to the plasma membrane in response to insulin signals. Takaguri et al⁴⁰ have reported that decreased GLUT4 membrane expression by atorvastatin is partially mediated by loss of Rab4 function.

Ubiquinone, adiponectin and leptin

Recent evidence also highlights the role of ubiquinone (CoQ10), adiponectin and leptin, in the modulation of glucose metabolism. Statins may impair glucose metabolism via affecting CoQ10, adiponectin and leptin¹². CoQ10 plays an important role in the regulation of mitochondrial function, which is critical for β -cell function. Statin therapy has been shown to reduce the production of CoQ10, and potentially induce myopathy, but it is unclear whether this contributes to impaired insulin sensitivity and increase risk of diabetes. CoQ10 has been shown to ameliorate the reduction in GLUT4 transporter by simvastatin in adipocytes⁴¹.

More research is needed to determine whether supplementation of CoQ10 can prevent the development of diabetes especially in patients with preexisting diabetic risk and receiving statin therapy. According to the some experimental data CoQ10 administration improves pancreatic β -cell function, increases insulin sensitivity and preserves the mitochondrial function in the cells⁴².

A decrease in adiponectin level might also link statin therapy to insulin resistance and diabetes. However, the role of adiponectin has not been clearly established^{10,12}. Adiponectin is secreted by adipocytes, and low adiponectin levels are associated with insulin resistance and obesity. Evidence suggests that adiponectin may protect against the development of diabetes by improving insulin sensitivity^{12,35}. Proposed molecular mechanisms of the effect of adiponectin on insulin sensitivity include inhibition of hepatic gluconeogenesis, stimulation of fatty acid oxidation in the liver, stimulation of glucose uptake and fatty acid oxidation in the skeletal muscle¹⁰. However, clinical studies have been inconclusive, with increases, decreases, and no change in adiponectin levels by statin therapy³⁵. It is unclear whether lipophilic and hydrophilic statins have differential effect on adiponectin metabolism. In contrast to lipophilic statins, hydrophilic statins (pravastatin, rosuvastatin and pitavastatin) are generally more consistent to increase adiponectin levels and insulin resistance. Pitavastatin has consistently been shown to increase adiponectin levels and, in some studies, it improves insulin sensitivity^{12,35}.

Leptin, an important satiety factor in appetite regulation is also secreted by adipocytes and has been shown to be suppressed by atorvastatin, rosuvastatin, and simvastatin, but not by pravastatin or pitavastatin, in humans^{35,43}. Statin use is associated with increased calorie intake and weight gain. Decreases in leptin expression by statins may contribute, at least in part, to increases in food intake in the long term statin users. But the role of statins in regulation of leptin is still conflicting⁴³.

Inflammation

Inflammation can promote insulin resistance and /or diabetes⁴⁴. Statins are generally anti-inflammatory, but HMGCoA reductase inhibition and targeted decrease in protein prenylation predominantly result in skewing immune responses toward anti-inflammatory characteristics, via pleiotropic effect. Statin use reduces in C-reactive protein which has been associated with a reduction of myocardial infarction risk¹². In addition to CRP, statins have been shown to reduce many inflammatory processes, including cell adhesion/migration and skewing cytokine profiles. They reduce pro-inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and interleukin (IL)-6. But, the findings have shown that statins increase the pro-inflammatory cytokine, IL-1 β , via decreased protein prenylation in immune cells. IL-1 β can be regulated by the NLRP3 inflammasome containing caspase-1³⁴. It is hypothesized (“inflammatory hypothesis of statins”) that, under dysmetabolic conditions, statins might have pro-inflammatory effects via induction of certain inflammasomes. On certain conditions, statins may activate inflammasome NLRP3 from macrophages or adipocytes in the presence of endotoxins, leading to IL-mediated insulin resistance. However, under physiological states, a moderate decrease in insulin sensitivity can be compensated by enhanced insulin secretion by pancreatic β -cells. This concept requires more study³⁴.

Genetic link

Genetic studies suggest that the increased risk of NODM may be partially explained by gene variants in the target genes for LDL-C lowering drugs. There is evidence that HMG CoA reductase single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) is associated with a small but discernible increase in the risk of diabetes, raised blood glucose and insulin, and higher body weight^{33,35,45}. This observation was validated in the randomized statin trials, and one particular allele was associated with a significant increase in the risk of new diabetes. A recent randomization study (Mendelian study) reported that the inhibition of HMG CoA reductase

activity with statin, particularly due to genetic variation in the HMGCR gene (rs17238484 and rs12916 alleles), was associated with an increased risk of NODM^{12,33}, and this may at least partly explain their diabetogenic effect³³⁻³⁵.

On the other hand, statins are associated with epigenetic changes, including histone acetylation,

miRNA regulation, and DNA methylation (DNAm), particularly at genes related to lipid and insulin metabolism⁴⁶. A recent epigenome-wide association study has investigated the association between statin use and changes in DNAm at sites in the genome called CpGs and found an evidence on DNAm partially mediating statins' effects. This could be one potential mechanism linking statin therapy and NODM⁴⁶.

Increased hepatic gluconeogenesis has been suggested as one of the primary mechanism for statin-induced glucose intolerance. However, the precise mechanism is not well known yet. Since aging is the primary risk factor in NODM, aging related molecules may be involved in glucose dysregulation caused by statin. Aging related molecule Sirt6 has been reported to repress hepatic gluconeogenesis. In a recent study simvastatin induced miR-495 (a novel inhibitor of Sirt6) and down regulated Sirt6 expression, which leads to enhancement of FoxO1-mediated hepatic gluconeogenesis in mice liver. mRNA levels of gluconeogenesis genes such as Pck1, G6pc, and Ppargc1a were increased in the statin-treated liver. Thus, Shi et al.⁴⁷ have suggested that Sirt6 activation may offer a promising strategy for preventing NODM.

DISCUSSION and CONCLUSION

Since the publication of the JUPITER trial, attention has been focused on the adverse glycemic effects of statin therapy. While molecular basis remains unclear it appears that the potential diabetogenic effects of statins may involve multiple mechanisms. *In vitro* and population studies have revealed possible explanations. Potentiating insulin resistance (increased hepatic gluconeogenesis, inhibition of GLUT4 translocation and of adipocyte differentiation) or decreased insulin secretion (the direct or indirect effects on β -cell function) have been proposed as major mechanisms^{9,12,45}. There is also evidence of an association between alleles influencing lipid metabolism and the risk of diabetes. Intense research is currently going on to elucidate the mechanisms of statin-induced NODM at the molecular level⁴⁸.

Intensive statin therapy is more diabetogenic than moderate-intensity therapy. The possible determining factors are the drug characteristics (potency, dose), patient characteristics (kidney function, age, cardiovascular risk) and the pre-diabetic state. Although statin therapy is associated with a modest increase in the risk (about one per thousand patient-years), the consensus is that the benefits of statins in preventing CVD events clearly outweigh the potential risk of diabetes. Before initiation of statin therapy the risk of NODM should be assessed in patients^{10,13}. During therapy, high risk of patients should be monitored for changes in blood glucose. In addition, diet and lifestyle interventions should be emphasized to reduce the risk of NODM. Diabetes is diagnosed only 2-4 months earlier in statin-treated patients, and if diabetes develops, it should be managed according to the guidelines^{13,16,35}. On the other hand, diabetic patients are one of the groups that benefits most from statin therapy with regards to CV risk, and statins are recommended in patients with diabetes and hypercholesterolemia, to prevent CV events⁴⁹. In diabetes of long duration, the effect of statins on glycaemic control is small and unlikely to be clinically important. Thus, diabetic individuals should receive statin treatment to reduce CVD. Considering the important effect in diminishing CV risk in all patients, including those with diabetes, it is not recommended to stop the statin therapy in patients with pre-existing or newly diagnosed diabetes. Patients who develop NODM while using statins do not exhibit increased microvascular disease^{15,50}. On the other hand, since elderly people have a shorter life expectancy and more comorbidities than younger people,

statins may have fewer benefits in this population. Thus, the benefits and disadvantages of statins should be evaluated carefully in elderly individuals⁴⁹.

REFERENCES

1. Brault M, Ray J, Gomez YH, Mantzoros CS, Daskalopoulou SS. Statin treatment and new-onset diabetes: a review of proposed mechanisms. *Metabolism* 2014; 63: 735–745. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2014.02.014>
2. Anyanwagu U, Idris I, Donnelly R. Drug-Induced Diabetes Mellitus: Evidence for Statins and Other Drugs Affecting Glucose Metabolism *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2016; 99(4): 390-400. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpt.274>
3. Ramkumar S, Raghunath A, Raghunath S. Statin therapy: Review of safety and potential side effects. *Acta Cardiol Sin* 2016; 32(6): 631-639. <https://doi.org/10.6515/acs20160611a>
4. Cumaoglu A, Ozansoy G, Irat AM, Aricioğlu A, Karasu C, Arı N. Effect of long term, non cholesterol lowering dose of fluvastatin treatment on oxidative stress in brain and peripheral tissues of streptozotocin-diabetic rats. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2011; 654(1): 80-5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2010.11.035>
5. Davignon J. Beneficial cardiovascular pleiotropic effects of statins. *Circulation* 2004; 109(23 Suppl 1): III39-43
6. Kavalipati N, Shah J, Ramakrishan A, Vasnawala H. Pleiotropic effects of statins. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab* 2015; 19(5): 554-62. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2230-8210.163106>
7. Muscogiuri G, Sarno G, Gastaldelli A, *et al.* The good and bad effects of statins on insulin sensitivity and secretion. *Endocr Res* 2014; 39(4): 137-43. <https://doi.org/10.3109/07435800.2014.952018>
8. Oesterle A, Laufs U, Liao JK. Pleiotropic effects of statins on the cardiovascular system. *Circ Res* 2017; 120(1): 229-243. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.308537>
9. Núñez-Cortés JM, Amenós AC, Gimilio JFA, *et al.* Consensus on the statin of choice in patients with impaired glucose metabolism: Results of the DIANA Study. *Am J Cardiovasc Drugs* 2017; 17(2): 135-142. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40256-016-0197-9>
10. Cybulska B, Kłosiewicz-Latoszek L. How do we know that statins are diabetogenic, and why? Is it an important issue in the clinical practice? *Kardiol Pol.* 2018; 76(8): 1217-1223. <https://doi.org/10.5603/KP.a2018.0150>
11. Pinal-Fernandez I, Casal-Dominguez M, Mammen AL. Statins: pros and cons. *Med Clin (Barc)* 2018; 150(10): 398–402. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medcli.2017.11.030>
12. Chan DC, Pang J, Watts GF. Pathogenesis and management of the diabetogenic effect of statins: a role for adiponectin and coenzyme Q10? *Curr Atheroscler Rep* 2015; 17(1): 472. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11883-014-0472-7>
13. Carmena R, Betteridge DJ. Diabetogenic action of statins: Mechanisms. *Curr Atheroscler Rep* 2019; 21(6): 23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11883-019-0780-z>
14. Galicia-Garcia U, Jebari S, Larrea-Sebal A, *et al.* Statin treatment-induced development of Type 2 diabetes: From clinical evidence to mechanistic insights. *Int J Mol Sci* 2020; 21(13): 4725. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21134725>
15. Ray K. Statin diabetogenicity: guidance for clinicians. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* 2013; 12(Suppl 1): S3.
16. Chogtu B, Magazine R, Bairy KL. Statin use and risk of diabetes mellitus. *World J Diabetes* 2015; 6(2): 352-7. <https://doi.org/10.4239/wjd.v6.i2.352>
17. Ridker PM. The JUPITER Trial. Results, controversies, and implications for prevention. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes.* 2009; (2): 279–285. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.109.868299>
18. Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FA, *et al.* Rosuvastatin to prevent vascular events in men and women with elevated C-reactive protein. *N Engl J Med* 2008; 359(21): 2195-207. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0807646>
19. Benes LB, Bassi NS, Davidson MH. The risk of hepatotoxicity, new onset diabetes and rhabdomyolysis in the era of high-intensity statin therapy: Does statin type matter? *Progress in Cardiovasc Dis* 2016; 59: 145-152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2016.08.001>

20. Culver AL, Ockene IS, Balasubramanian R, *et al.* Statin use and risk of diabetes mellitus in postmenopausal women in the Women's Health Initiative. *Arch Intern Med* 2012; 172: 144-152 <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinternmed.2011.625>
21. Sattar N, Taskinen MR. Statins are diabetogenic--myth or reality? *Atheroscler Suppl* 2012; 13(1):1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosissup.2012.06.001>
22. Sattar N, Preiss D, Murray HM, *et al.* Statins and risk of incident diabetes: a collaborative metaanalysis of randomised statin trials. *Lancet* 2010; 375:735-42. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(09\)61965-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61965-6)
23. Thompson PD, Panza G, Zaleski A, Taylor B. Statin-associated side effects. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2016; 24: 67(20):2395-2410. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2016.02.071>
24. Ridker PM, Pradhan A, MacFadyen JG, Libby P, Glynn RJ. Cardiovascular benefits and diabetes risks of statin therapy in primary prevention: an analysis from the JUPITER trial. *Lancet* 2012; 380:565-71. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(12\)61190-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61190-8)
25. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. FDA drug safety communication: important safety label changes to cholesterol-lowering statin drugs. FDA Drug Safety Communication. <<http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm293101.htm>>
26. Bell DSH, Di Nicolantonio JJ, O'Keefe JH. Is statin-induced diabetes clinically relevant? A comprehensive review of the literature. *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism* 2014; 16:689-694. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.12254>
27. Chrysant SG. New onset diabetes mellitus induced by statins: Current evidence. *Postgraduate Medicine* 2017; 129(4): 430-435. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00325481.2017.1292107>
28. Wallemacq C. Statins and new-onset diabetes : Benefit-risk balance. *Rev Med Suisse* 2019; 15 (659): 1454-1457.
29. Dormuth CR, Filion KB, Paterson JM, *et al.* Higher potency statins and the risk of new diabetes: Multicentre, observational study of administrative databases. *BMJ* 2014; 348:g3244. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g3244>
30. Agouridis AP, Kostapanos MS, Elisaf MS. Statins and their increased risk of inducing diabetes. *Expert Opin Drug Saf* 2015; 14(12): 1835-44. <https://doi.org/10.1517/14740338.2015.1096343>
31. Guertin JR, Rahme E, LeLorier J. Use of continuous exposure variables when examining dose-dependent pharmacological effects - Application to the association between exposure to higher statin doses and the incidence of diabetes. *J Popul Ther Clin Pharmacol* 2017; 24(1):5-15. <https://doi.org/10.22374/1710-6222.24.1.1>
32. Liu WT, Lin C, Tsai MC *et al.* Effects of pitavastatin, atorvastatin and rosuvastatin on the risk of new-onset diabetes mellitus: A single-center cohort study. *Biomedicines* 2020; 8:499. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines8110499>
33. Laakso M, Kuusisto J. Diabetes secondary to treatment with statins. *Curr Diab Rep* 2017; 17(2):10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11892-017-0837-8>
34. Ganda OP. Statin-induced diabetes: incidence, mechanisms, and implications. *F1000Research* 2016; 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):1499. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8629.1>
35. Robinson JG. Statins and diabetes risk: how real is it and what are the mechanisms? *Curr Opin Lipidol.* 2015; 26(3):228-35. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MOL.0000000000000172>
36. Paseban M, Butler AE, Sahebkar A. Mechanisms of statin-induced new-onset diabetes. *J Cell Physiol* 2019; 234: 12551-12561. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.28123>
37. Xia F, Xie L, Mihic A, *et al.* Inhibition of cholesterol biosynthesis impairs insulin secretion and voltage-gated calcium channel function in pancreatic beta-cells. *Endocrinology* 2008; 149: 5136-45. <https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2008-0161>
38. Sehra D, Sehra S, Sehra ST. Cardiovascular pleiotropic effects of statins and new onset diabetes: is there a common link: do we need to evaluate the role of K_{ATP} channels? *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* 2017; 7:823-831. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14740338.2017.1338269>
39. Khan T, Hamilton MP, Mundy ID, Chua SC, Scherer PE. Impact of simvastatin on adipose tissue: Pleiotropic effects *in vivo*. *Endocrinology* 2009; 150: 5262-5272. <https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2009-0603>

40. Takaguri A, Satoh K, Itagaki M, Tokumitsu Y, Ichihara K. Effects of atorvastatin and pravastatin on signal transduction related to glucose uptake in 3T3L1 adipocytes. *J Pharmacol Sci* 2008; 107(1):80-89. <https://doi.org/10.1254/jphs.fp0072403>
41. Ganesan S, Ito MK. Coenzyme Q10 ameliorates the reduction in GLUT4 transporter expression induced by simvastatin in 3T3-L1 adipocytes. *Metab Syndr Relat Disord* 2013; 11:251-5. <https://doi.org/10.1089/met.2012.0177>
42. Schroeder MM, Belloto Jr RJ, Hudson RA, McInerney MF. Effects of antioxidants coenzyme Q10 and lipoic acid on interleukin-1 β -mediated inhibition of glucose-stimulated insulin release from cultured mouse pancreatic islets. *Immunopharmacol Immunotoxicol* 2005; 27:109-22. <https://doi.org/10.1081/iph-51755>
43. Singh P, Zhang Y, Sharma P, *et al.* Statins decrease leptin expression in human white adipocytes. *Physiol Rep* 2018; 6(2):e13566. <https://doi.org/10.14814/phy2.13566>.
44. Henriksbo BD, Schertzer JD. Is immunity a mechanism contributing to statin-induced diabetes? *Adipocyte* 2015; 4(4):232-8. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21623945.2015.1024394>
45. Collins PD, Sattar N. Glycaemic effects of non-statin lipid-lowering therapies. *Curr Cardiol Rep* 2016; 18(12): 133. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11886-016-0795-9>
46. Ochoa-Rosales C, Portilla-Fernandez E, Nano J *et al.* Epigenetic link between statin therapy and type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2020; 43:875-884. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc19-1828>
47. Shi MY, Bang IH, Han CY, Lee DH, Park BH, Bae EJ. Statin suppresses sirtuin 6 through miR-495, increasing FoxO1-dependent hepatic gluconeogenesis. *Theranostics* 2020; 10(25):11416-11427. <https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.49770>
48. Yandrapalli S, Malik A, Guber K, *et al.* Statins and the potential for higher diabetes mellitus risk. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol* 2019; 12(9):825-830. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17512433.2019.1659133>
49. Horodinschi RN, Stanescu AMA, Bratu OG, *et al.* Treatment with statins in elderly patients. *Medicina (Kaunas, Lithuania)* 2019; 55(11):72. <https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina55110721>
50. Betteridge DJ, Carmena R. The diabetogenic action of statins - mechanisms and clinical implications. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2016; 12(2):99-110. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2015.194>

Review