

 Available online at *www.ujpronline.com* **Universal Journal of Pharmaceutical Research** *An International Peer Reviewed Journal* **ISSN: 2831-5235 (Print); 2456-8058 (Electronic)**

 Copyright©2024; The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the CC BY-NC 4.0 which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited

REVIEW ARTICLE

REVIEW ON CELLULAR SIGNALING, GROWTH FACTORS, AND MECHANICAL STIMULUS IN NERVE REGENERATION

Ezegbe Chekwube Andrew1,3* [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2831-1006) Amarachi Grace Ezegbe[2](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5026-0690)

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Technology and Industrial Pharmacy, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria.

²Department of Home Science and Management, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria.

³Nanoscience and Advanced Materials, Graduate Program (PPG-Nano), Federal University of ABC, Avenida dos Estados, Santo Andre, Sao Paulo, Brazil.

Article Info:

Article History: Received: 14 September 2024 Reviewed: 18 November 2024 Accepted: 23 December 2024 Published: 15 January 2025

Cite this article:

Ezegbe CA, Ezegbe GA. Review on cellular signaling, growth factors, and mechanical stimulus in nerve regeneration. Universal Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 2024; 9(6): 77-83. *<http://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v9i6.1246>*

***Address for Correspondence: Ezegbe Chekwube Andrew**, Department of Pharmaceutical Technology and Industrial Pharmacy, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria. Tel: +2348038042802;

__

E-mail: *ezegbe.chekwube@unn.edu.ng*

Abstract

The nervous system consists of the autonomous and peripheral. Injury on the peripheral nerve which occurs due to trauma, accident and other associated factors always results in a huge loss of both the motor and sensory functions. The injured nerves can be successfully restored although it requires a lot of molecular response in order to rebuild the functional axons. When this is achieved, the damaged nerve can accurately connect with their original targets. The complete recovery of PNI has not been optimized. Exogenous growth factors (GFs) are a new therapeutic strategy that can be used in nerve regeneration. The mechanism of action of growth factor is based on the ability to activate the signaling cascades via binding to the individual receptors in order to exert the multiple effects and restore the neuron and tissue regeneration. Although the GFs are limited by their short half-life and rapid deactivation. The use of nerve conduits has been able to reduce these limitations. The nerve conduits have been good biocompatibility and biofunctionality properties.

__

Keywords: Axons, growth factors, peripheral nerve injury, signaling cascade.

INTRODUCTION

Cellular signaling can be defined as perturbations of cellular homeostasis which causes cells to respond to different types of stimuli which could be in form of mechanical (mechanotransduction), electrical (electrotransduction) and chemical (chemotransduction) [1]. Cell signaling is a process that enables a cell to interact with itself, other surrounding cells and the host environment [1]. Three major components are involved in cell signaling. They include the: signal, receptor and effector [2]. Signaling could occur in different forms viz endocrine (long range communication), paracrine (short range), juxtacrine (contact-dependent signaling) and autocrine. Growth factors are defined as a set of cell-produced proteins and polypeptides which have the ability to regulate cellular proliferation and differentiation [3]. Growth factors that are soluble in nature can easily be incorporated directly into nerve conduits. They play a crucial role in supporting the numerous cell types that are involved in cell regeneration [4]. Examples of growth factors commonly used in nerve regeneration include [5]:

- Nerve growth factors (NGFs)
- Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF)
- Ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF)
- Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)
- Neurotrophin -3 (NT-3)
- Leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF)
- Growth associated factor (GAP-43)
- Neurotrophin -4 (NT-4)
- Fibroblast growth factor (FGF)
- Platelet derived growth factor (PDGF)

Nerve growth factor (NGF): NGF was the first neurotrophic factor to be identified. It consists of three subunits: γ , β and α . Its main function is in the maintenance of basal forebrain cholinergic neurons, sympathetic neurons and nociceptive sensory neurons [6]. The mechanism of action is based on its ability to bind to tyrosine kinase receptor (trkA) which promotes the choline acetyltransferase expression and its effect on neuron differentiation and maintenance [7]. Nerve growth factors can be increased at the site of injury by insertion of Schwann cells into the nerve scaffolds [6]. The neurotrophic factor consists of structurally and

functionally peptides that are related and they mediate potent survival and differentiation effects, both in central and peripheral nervous system [7]. Neurotrophins exist as noncovalent homodimers that are biologically active in nature [8, 9]. Each molecule of the homodimer is made up of two pairs of antiparallel beta strands. Each of these beta strands is made up of highly flexible short loops [10]. The uniqueness of neurotropins is in their ability to bind to two classes of receptors which include the tropomysin receptor kinase (TRK) and the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) alpha family of P⁷⁵ receptor. The P45 receptor has similar affinity whenever it binds to neurotrophins, while the tropomysin receptor kinase are more specific in their binding. Nerve growth factors bind to trkA and BDNF, while NT-4/5 subsequently binds to trkB [11].

Neuropoetic cytokines: They belong to the family of pleiotropic glycoprotein molecules which play a major role in biological activities, induction of immune and inflammatory responses, regulation of hematopoiesis, control of cellular proliferation/differentiation and wound healing induction [12]. The main signal mechanism for neuropoetic cytokine family is carried out through recruiting the common signal transduction receptor subunit [13, 14]. Gp130 is not directly activated by neuropoetic cytokines, but they bind to specific ligand-binding subunits. IL-6 binds to the IL-6 receptor, LIF binds to the LIF receptor (LIFR) and the CNTF binds to the CNTF receptor (CNTFR).

Brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF): They are found majorly in the brain and periphery. Their major functions are in the promotion of the neuronal and synaptic growth, maintenance of existing neurons in the cortex and basal forebrain. Its mechanism of action is similar to that of NGF were they bind to the trkB receptor and form the BDNF-trkB complex [14].

The role of growth factors in nerve regeneration

The neurotrophic growth factors belong to the peptide family. Their basic role is to ensure the survival and differentiation of nerve fibers in both the central and peripheral nervous system [15, 16].

Neurotrophins are molecules that are made up of noncovalent homodimer beta chains [17]. They are separated from each other due to the composition of the binding sites. They play a major role in neurotrophic factors because they help to guide the exons in growth cone during regeneration [18].

Glial cell-lined derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF)

The GDNF family consist of GDNF, persephin (PSP), neurturin (NTN) and artemin (ART). The prominent member, GDNF helps in the survival of motor neurons, while NTN assists in the survival of sympathetic neurons [19]. They belong to the growth factor-β family of neurotrophic factors. There are two major parts of receptors associated with GDNF. They are the $GFR\alpha$ 1 subunit and C-ret subunit. The former serves as the binding site, while the later participates in signaling [20].

Ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF)

It belongs to the family of interleukin-6. It is during an injury that the production of CNTF increases. The ligand binding of CNTF to the CNTF receptor-α (CNTFR) subunit triggers signals via the Janus kinasesignal transducers and activators of transcription pathway via the formation of a complex with the subunits of glycoprotein-130 [21, 22].

Interactions between neurotrophic factors

There are differences that exist for both GDNF family and neuropoeitic cytokines in terms of receptor systems and related signal transduction pathways [23]. The neurotrophins and GDNF family are homodimeric and biologically active molecules, while neuropoeitic cytokines are long chain α-helix bundle proteins [24, 25]. Damage to the axon leads to significant increase of BDNF mRNA within 8 hours [26], while in a healthy neuron, BDNF is under expressed, thus within the $7th$ day of injury, the BDNF level returns to normal. Following external damage, trkB mRNA increases on the second day, while on the $7th$ day, it reaches the peak. The content and localization of the axonal damage are two major factors that affect the neuropoeitic cytokine receptors [27]. After damage to the axon, cellular and molecular changes occur, and they are characterized by phagocytic processes [28]. Whenever an injury occurs at the axonal end, the expression of nerve growth factor (NGF) and brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) increases in the distal part, while the expression of NT-3 and NT-4 neurotrophin reduces [29]. In an intact nerve, the level of NGF mRNA is very low, while in a damaged axon, it increases to 10 times in the distal part within the first 12 hours. After 72 hours post-injury, it decreases back to its normal level and remains like that for about three weeks [30-35]. In a damaged axon, the BDNF mRNA increases at the distal part, although the increase is slow when compared to that of NGF mRNA. Although GDNF has been detected in healthy nerve, in a damaged axon, it usually peaks in distal part after $7th$ day and remains like that for atleast two weeks [36].

Mechanical stimulus (mechanisms, biomaterials, types of stimulus and results)

Ultrasound: Ultrasound can serve two major functions: as a diagnostic and as a therapeutic tool. Ultrasound waves are known to generate mechanical energy which stimulates tissue regeneration [37]. The ultrasound wave can come in either continuous or pulsed. The low intensity pulsed ultrasound is preferable due to the fact that it involves low intensity of mechanical wave in a pulsatile manner, which results in reduction of heat generation [38]. The ultrasound stimulation that regulates intracellular signaling mechanism induction of fibroblasts by mechanical force leads to enhancement of collagen production and also provision of a structural support for axonal repair [38].

Extracorporeal shock wave (ESW)

The difference between extracorporeal shock wave (ESW) and ultrasound is that ESW applies a higher mechanical pressure that is about one thousand (1,000) times compared to that of ultrasound [39]. ESW has a lot of therapeutic applications, among them is in the repair of peripheral nerve injury.

Types of extracorporeal shock wave

- a. Focused extracorporeal shock wave (FESW)
- b. Radial extracorporeal shock wave (RESW)

Focused extracorporeal shock wave is applied in deep treatment areas that can reach up to 12 cm, while radial extracorporeal shock wave is applied to a depth of about 3-4 cm [40]. Extracorporeal shock wave generates a mechanical stimulus that provokes two major physical effects which include mechanotransduction and cavitation. In peripheral nerve repair, mechanotransduction plays a major role by affecting the development of myelin gene regulation, Schwann cell differentiation and axonal regeneration [41].

Biomaterials for Peripheral Nerve Injury repair.

In tissue engineering, any biomaterial used in nerve conduit production must possess some basic characteristics properties which include: biocompatibility, biodegradability, permeability, biochemical properties, flexibility and resistance to collapse and tension [42]. The biocompatibility property of a biomaterial is further subdivided into 3 [43, 44]:

a. **Blood compatibility**: This talks about the ability of the biomaterial not to initiate hemolysis or coagulation in the human body

b. **Histocompatibility**: The biomaterial should not be able to induce side effects on the surrounding tissues.

c. **Mechanical compatibility**: The mechanical properties presented by the biomaterial must be similar to that of the host tissue.

Permeability is another important parameter that should be possessed by a conduit biomaterial. This is because it enhances cell viability and also promotes the exchange of gas, nutrients and waste materials [45]. According to Funakoshi *et al*; conduit permeability increases with pore size. Thus to facilitate nerve growth and repair, nerve conduits with large pores are preferable. In nerve regeneration, a semi-permeable conduit is more preferable when compared to both low permeable and impermeable conduits [46]. The nerve guide diameter has a lot of influence on the nerve regeneration outcome. This is because the proximal and distal stumps of the injured nerve has to match the nerve guide diameter [47]. The conduit wall thickness also has a major role to play in axonal growth. According to Naveilhan *et al*; conduit walls that are more than 0.8 mm thick reduces axonal growth which affects the permeability and porosity reduction which are important factors to consider in nerve regeneration [47]. An idea conduit should be easy to suture, and it should be flexible enough to allow the needle to pass via the wall without the escape of the nerve stumps from the conduit lumen [48].

Natural based biomaterials

In nerve regeneration, a lot of natural-based biomaterials has been used. They include polysaccharides such as: hyaluronic acid, alginate, chitin and chitosan. Proteins such as: collagen, gelatin, silk fibroin, fibrin and keratin [49].

Polysaccharides

1. Hyaluronic acid (HA): It is composed of glycosaminoglycan moiety which is involved in regulation of different cellular processes [50]. Some unique properties associated with hyaluronic acid include: biocompatibility, support of axonal growth and its non-adhesive nature [51]. Although some of the limitations associated with HA which are: fast degradation and low mechanical properties, it can still be used as a conduit internal filler mostly in hydrogel form.

2. Alginate: Alginate has a wild application in the biomedical field [52]. Chemical reactions is one major way that is used in the modification of alginate. When alginate is oxidized with sodium alginate, it gives rise to alginate dialdehyde [53]. One of the limitations associated with alginate use in promoting nerve regeneration is its weak mechanical resistance, thus it is advisable to use alginate in combination with other polymers in order for it to withstand the physiological loading conditions [54]. According to Pfister *et al*; he blended alginate with a biomaterial of natural originchitosan which gave rise to a support of nerve regeneration for short nerve gaps. Due to the hydrophilic nature of the chitosan, the blended mixture possessed a good permeability and adequate mechanical strength [55]. The techniques used in the manufacture of alginate include: magnetic templating, electrospinning, gas forming, emulsion freeze drying and 3D printing [56, 57]. Alginate can also be used in nerve regeneration as a conduit internal filler for growth factor delivery [58].

3. Chitin and chitosan: Chitin is a member of the glycosaminoglycan family with the presence of Nacetyl-D-glucosamine moiety. The most abundant polysaccharide in nature is cellulose, followed by chitin. Its most abundant in nature is found in the exoskeleton of arthropods [59]. Chitin has a wide range of applications in the food industry, agriculture, pharmaceutics and medicine especially when used in its partial deacetylated form as chitosan [60, 61]. They include its biocompatibility, ability to support axonal growth and tendency to reducing scar [62]. Although chitosan has low mechanical strength, it can be modified in order to improve its mechanical stability [63]. Other unique properties associated with chitosan include: its versatility and easy modification of the surface structure [64]. A study investigated nerve regeneration in rat sciatic nerves 3 months after 10 mm nerve repair with chitosan conduits that had three different deacetylation degrees [65]. At the end of the study, there was no significant differences among the experimental groups at functional, biomolecular and morphological levels [66]. Reaxon® a chitosan nerve conduit was commercialized in 2015. It was able to bridge nerve gaps up to 26 mm due to some of its unique advantages such as transparency, flexibility and resistance to collapse [67].

Proteins

Collagen: Collagen is the most abundant protein in the human body, thus one of the main reasons it has been used over the years in nerve conduit repair [73]. According to Saltzman *et al*; 10 mm long hollow conduits reported better results in rat nerve regeneration and muscle re-innervation when compared to collagen polyglycolic acid (PGA) filed conduits. The limitations associated with the use of collagenase in nerve tissue repair is due to its low resistance to mechanical stress and weak manipulability [74].

Table 1: Relevant studies on chitosan based conduits.

It is recommended that collagen should be blended with other biomaterials like chitosan in order to increase its mechanical strength [75].

Gelatin: The thermal denaturation of collagen results in the production of gelatin. The mechanical and physical properties of gelatin could be easily altered by using various cross-linking agents [76]. One of the most common cross-linkers used was genipin, a natural substance with low cytotoxicity. According to Chen Y *et al*, he used a genipin cross-linked gelatin conduit to repair a 10 mm rat sciatic nerve for 8 weeks. The result obtained after 8 weeks, showed that most of the regenerated axons were not myelinated [76]. Proanthocyanidin was another cross linker that was used to stabilize a gelatin conduit. According to Liu *et al*; it was used to repair a 10 mm nerve gap and the regeneration was assessed 8 weeks after the repair. The *in vivo* studies after 8 weeks showed that the conduit was well integrated into the surrounding tissues [77]. Another natural cross linker used was bisvinylsulfomethyl. The result obtained after 8 weeks in a 10 mm rat sciatic nerve defect showed that it reduced gelatin swelling and improved its mechanical properties [78].

Silk fibroin

Silk fibroin is used in biomedical applications due to some unique characteristics that it possesses. It contains repeated amino acidic sequence, thus having a very good mechanical properties. It is also easily degradable [82]. Mature silk has been shown to possess good tensile and mechanical properties to conduits, when compared to conduits produced with only fibroin solution. The silk fibrin could easily be blended using different biomaterials to reach the target mechanical strength [83].

Fibrin

It is used in scaffold tissue engineering due to its unique properties which include high biocompatibility, versatility, high dissolving and coagulating properties which can be modified [84, 85]. According to Kalbarmathen *et al*; he demonstrated the effect in rat sciatic nerve regeneration of a conduit that was made by fibrin glue to repair 10 mm defects. The result obtained indicated that the fibrin glue demonstrated a better axon regeneration length in comparison PHB conduits 2 weeks after the repair [85].

Mode of conduit	Analysis	Results	References
production			
Genepin cross-	A non-porous and porous genepin	A faster degradation and lower	[79]
linked gelatin	cross-linked gelatin conduit were	mechanical strength was recorded in	
solution poured into	compared and used to repair a 10	the porous gelatin conduit.	
a mandrel	mm rat sciatic nerve.	There was a significant higher nerve	
	observation Microscopic and	conductive velocity in rats that were	
	characterization of the conduit	repaired with the porous conduit	
Proanthocyanidin	In-vitro enzymatic degradation and	resistance Conduit has to	[80]
cross-linked gelatin	biocompatibility assay. A 10 mm rat	degradation by digestive enzymes.	
solution	sciatic nerve defect was used to	Schwann cell adhesion and growth	
	repair the proanthocyanidin cross-	supported by gelatin and was	
	linked gelatin conduit for 8 weeks	proanthocyanidin release	
Photo fabrication of	10 mm rat sciatic nerve gap was	At 12 weeks, the gelatin conduit was	[81]
the gelatin conduit	repaired with gelatin conduit for 12	degraded and absorbed with no signs	
	months	of any inflammatory reactions	

Table 2: Relevant studies on protein based conduits.

Keratin

It has some unique characteristics that makes it useful as a biomaterial. They include its biocompatibility, biodegradability, bioactivity and its hydrophilic surface. Although it has some limitations such as poor physical and mechanical properties, it can be improved by using various cross-linking agents [86]. When keratin is used as a hydrogel-filler for conduits in mice, it has proven to be effective in promoting nerve regeneration in short gaps of 5-15 mm [87]. Gupta and Najak used keratin as a protein source for scaffold fabrication. The results obtained showed that they produced a keratin-alginate scaffold [88].

Polyesters

A polyester is a biopolymer that is naturally biodegradable. The most commonly used type in tissue engineering is polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA). Some advantages associated with PHA include pH stability and biocompatibility. One of the limitations of its use is high cost, although it could be reduced to the barest minimum by the development of recombinant microorganisms [89].

CONCLUSIONS

Overtime, there has been an advancement on the comprehension of peripherous nervous injury, although there is still room for improvement. With growing research on other growth factors, they hold a great promise as a tool for studying intracellular communication among cells.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are thankful for University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria to provide necessary facilities for this work.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION

Ezegbe CA: investigation, visualization, writing editing. **Ezegbe AG:** review, editing. Both authors checked and approved final version of the manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The accompanying author can provide the empirical data that were utilized to support the study's conclusions upon request.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None to declare.

REFERENCES

- 1. Benoit JP, Faisant N, Venier-Julienne MC, and Menei P (2000). Development of microspheres for neurological disorders: From basics to clinical applications. Journal of Controlled Release 65: 285–296.
- 2. Arathi N, Prashant C, Bhasker S, Katharina F (2019). Review on conceptual evolution of cell signaling. International Journal of Molecular Science. 20, 3292; 1-44.
- 3. Boyd JG and Gordon T (2003a) Neurotrophic factors and their receptors in axonal regeneration and functional

recovery after peripheral nerve injury. Molecular Neurobiology 27: 277–324.

- 4. Boyd JG and Gordon T (2003b) Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor and brain-derived neurotrophic factor sustain the axonal regeneration of chronically axotomized motoneurons *In vivo*. Experimental Neurology 183: 610– 619.5
- 5. Engler AJ, Sen S, Sweeney HL, and Discher DE (2006) Matrix elasticity directs stem cell lineage specification. Cell 126: 677–689.
- 6. Espinosa-Jeffrey A, Oregel K, Wiggins L, *et al*. (2012) Strategies for endogenous spinal cord repair: HPMA hydrogel to recruit migrating endogenous stem cells. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology 760: 25– 52.
- 7. Evans GR (2001). Peripheral nerve injury: A review and approach to tissue engineered constructs. The Anatomical Record 263: 396–404.
- 8. Fernandez L, Komatsu DE, Gurevich M, and Hurst LC (2018). Emerging strategies on adjuvant therapies for nerve recovery. The Journal of Hand Surgery American Society for Surgery of the Hand 43: 368–373.
- 9. Fournier E, Passirani C, Montero-Menei CN, and Benoit JP (2003). Biocompatibility of implantable synthetic polymeric drug carriers: Focus on brain biocompatibility. Biomaterials 24: 3311–3331.
- 10. Fu SY and Gordon T (1997). The cellular and molecular basis of peripheral nerve regeneration. Molecular Neurobiology 14: 67–116.
- 11. Georges PC, Miller WJ, Meaney DF, Sawyer ES, and Janmey PA (2006). Matrices with compliance comparable to that of brain tissue select neuronal over glial growth in mixed cortical cultures. Biophysical Journal 90: 3012– 3018.
- 12. Gibson RM, Craig SE, Heenan L, Tournier C, and Humphries MJ (2005). Activation of integrin alpha5beta1 delays apoptosis of Ntera2 neuronal cells. Molecular and Cellular Neuroscience 28: 588–598.
- 13. Gomes ED, Mendes SS, Leite-Almeida H, *et al*. (2016). Combination of a peptide-modified gellan gum hydrogel with cell therapy in a lumbar spinal cord injury animal model. Biomaterials 105: 38–51.
- 14. Gooch CL, Pracht E, and Borenstein AR (2017). The burden of neurological disease in the United States: A summary report and call to action. Annals of Neurology 81: 479–484.
- 15. Rask CA (1991). Biological actions of nerve growth factor in the peripheral nervous system. Eur Neurol. 41 (Suppl 1):14-19.
- 16. Thoenen H. (2000). Neurotrophins and activity-dependent plasticity. Prog Brain Res. 128:183-191.
- 17. Costales J, Kolevzon A. (2016). The therapeutic potential of insulin-like growth factor-1 in central nervous system disorders. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 63:207-222.
- 18. Keskin I, Kaplan S, Kalkan S, Sutcu M, Ulkay MB, Esener OB. (2015). Evaluation of neuroprotection by melatonin against adverse effects of prenatal exposure to a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug during peripheral nerve development. Int J Dev Neurosci. 41:1-7.
- 19. Geuna S, Raimondo S, Fregnan F, Haastert-Talini K, Grothe C. (2016). *In vitro* models for peripheral nerve regeneration. Eur J Neurosci. 43:287-296.
- 20. Geuna S. (2015). The sciatic nerve injury model in preclinical research. J Neurosci Methods. 243:39-46.
- 21. Turgut M, Kaplan S. (2011). Effects of melatonin on peripheral nerve regeneration. Recent Pat Endocr Metab Immune Drug Discov. 5:100-108.
- 22. Boyd JG, Gordon T. (2003). Neurotrophic factors and their receptors in axonal regeneration and functional recovery after peripheral nerve injury. Mol Neurobiol. 27:277-324.
- 23. Sebben AD, Lichtenfels M, da Silva JLB. (2011). Perıpheral nerve regeneratıon: Cell therapy and

neurotrophıc factors. Revista Brasileira de Ortopedia (English Edition). 46:643-649.

- 24. Barde YA, Edgar D, Thoenen H. (1982). Purification of a new neurotrophic factor from mammalian brain. EMBO J. 1:549-553.
- 25. Yan H, Zhang F, Chen MB, Lineaweaver WC (2009). Chapter 10: Conduit luminal additives for peripheral nerve repair. Int Rev Neurobiol. 87:199-225.
- 26. Yano H, Chao MV. (2000). Neurotrophin receptor structure and interactions. Pharm Acta Helv. 74:253-260.
- 27. Barbacid M. (1994). The Trk family of neurotrophin receptors. J Neurobiol. 25:1386-1403.
- 28. Schneider R, Schweiger M. (1991). A novel modular mosaic of cell adhesion motifs in the extracellular domains of the neurogenic trk and trkB tyrosine kinase receptors. Oncogene. 6:1807-1811.
- 29. Saarma M, Sariola H. (1999). Other neurotrophic factors: glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF). Microsc Res Tech. 45:292-302.
- 30. Henderson CE, Phillips HS, Pollock RA.(1994). GDNF: A potent survival factor for motoneurons present in peripheral nerve and muscle. Science. 266:1062- 1064.
- 31. Patel M, Mao L, Wu B, VandeVord P. (2009). GDNF blended chitosan nerve guides: An *in vivo* study. J Biomed Mater Res A. 90:154-165.
- 32. Heinrich PC, Behrmann I, Muller-Newen G, Schaper F, Graeve L. (1998). Interleukin-6-type cytokine signalling through the gp130/Jak/STAT pathway. Biochem J. 334:297- 314.
- 33. Yang P, Wen H, Ou S, Cui J, Fan D. (2012). IL-6 promotes regeneration and functional recovery after cortical spinal tract injury by reactivating intrinsic growth program of neurons and enhancing synapse formation. Exp Neurol. 236:19-27.
- 34. Stolp HB. (2013). Neuropoietic cytokines in normal brain development and neurodevelopmental disorders. Mol Cell Neurosci. 53:63-68.
- 35. Harvey AR, Lovett SJ, Majda BT, *et al*. (2015). Neurotrophic factors for spinal cord repair: Which, where, how and when to apply, and for what period of time? Brain Res. 1619:36-71.
- 36. Zurn AD, Winkel L, Menoud A, Djabali K, Aebischer P. (1996). Combined effects of GDNF, BDNF, and CNTF on motoneuron differentiation *in vitro*. J Neurosci Res. 44:133-141.
- 37. Priestley JV, Ramer MS, King VR, McMahon SB, Brown RA. (2002). Stimulating regeneration in the damaged spinal cord. J Physiol Paris. 96:123-133.
- 38. Hoyng SA, De Winter F, Gnavi S, *et al*. (2014). Comparative morphological, electrophysiological and functional analysis of axon regeneration through peripheral nerve autografts genetically modified to overexpress BDNF, CNTF, GDNF, NGF, NT3 or VEGF. Exp Neurol. 261:578-593.
- 39. Kobayashi NR, Bedard AM, Hincke MT, Tetzlaff W. (1996). Increased expression of BDNF and trkB mRNA in rat facial motoneurons after axotomy. Eur J Neurosci. 8:1018-1029.
- 40. Vögelin E, Baker JM, Gates J, Dixit V, Constantinescu MA, Jones NF (2006). Effects of local continuous release of brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) on peripheral nerve regeneration in a rat model. Exp Neurol. 199:348- 353.
- 41. Tchetchelnitski V, van den Eijnden M, Schmidt F, Stoker AW (2014). Developmental co-expression and functional redundancy of tyrosine phosphatases with neurotrophin receptors in developing sensory neurons. Int J Dev Neurosci. 34:48-59.
- 42. Gibbons AS, Bailey KA. (2005). BDNF and NT-3 regulation of trkB and trkC mRNA levels in the developing chick spinal cord. Neurosci Lett. 385:41-45.
- 43. Kaplan S, Odaci E, Unal B, Sahin B, Fornaro M. (2009). Chapter 2: Development of the peripheral nerve. Int Rev Neurobiol. 87:9-26.
- 44. Onger ME, Türkmen AP, Elibol E. (2015). Chapter $3 -$ Embryology of the peripheral nerves A2 − Nerves and nerve injuries. Academic Press, San Diego, USA pp. 37- 40.
- 45. Türkmen AP, Altunkaynak BZ, Onger ME (2015). Chapter 4 − Development of the cranial nerves A2 − Nerves and nerve injuries. Academic Press, San Diego, USA. pp. 41- 53.
- 46. Funakoshi H, Frisén J, Barbany G, Timmusk T, Zachrisson O, Verge VM, Persson H. (1993). Differential expression of mRNAs for neurotrophins and their receptors after axotomy of the sciatic nerve. J Cell Biol. 123:455- 465.
- 47. Naveilhan P, ElShamy WM, Ernfors P. (1997). Differential regulation of mRNAs for GDNF and its receptors Ret and GDNFR alpha after sciatic nerve lesion in the mouse. Eur J Neurosci. 9:1450-1460.
- 48. Allen SJ, Watson JJ, Shoemark DK, Barua NU, Patel NK. (2013). GDNF, NGF and BDNF as therapeutic options for neurodegeneration. Pharmacol Ther. 138:155-175.
- 49. Åberg, M., Ljungberg, C., Edin, E., Millqvist, H., Nordh, E., Theorin, A. (2009). Clinical evaluation of a resorbable wrap-around implant as an alternative to nerve repair: a prospective, assessor-blinded, randomized clinical study of sensory, motor and functional recovery after peripheral nerve repair. J. Plast. Reconstr. Aesthetic Surg. 62, 1503– 1509. doi: 10.1016/j.bjps.2008. 06.041
- 50. Ahmed, Z., Underwood, S., and Brown, R. A. (2003). Nerve guide material made from fibronectin: assessment of *in vitro* properties. Tissue Eng. 9, 219–231. doi: 10.1089/107632703764664693
- 51. Aigner, T. B., Haynl, C., Salehi, S., O'Connor, A., and Scheibel, T. (2020). Nerve guidance conduit design based on self-rolling tubes. Mater. Today Biol. 5:100042. doi: 10.1016/j.mtbio.2020.100042
- 52. Albala, D. M., and Lawson, J. H. (2006). Recent clinical and investigational applications of fibrin sealant in selected surgical specialties. J. Am. Coll. Surg. 202, 685–697. doi: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2005.11.027
- 53. Alberti, K. A., Hopkins, A. M., Tang-Schomer, *et al*. (2014). The behavior of neuronal cells on tendon-derived collagen sheets as potential substrates for nerve regeneration. Biomaterials 35, 3551–3557. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2013.12.082
- 54. Alessandrino, A., Fregnan, F., Biagiotti, M., Muratori, L., Bassani, G. A., Ronchi, G. (2019). SilkBridgeTM: a novel biomimetic and biocompatible silk-based nerve conduit. Biomater. Sci. 7, 4112–4130. doi: 10.1039/c9bm00783k
- 55. Pfister, L. A., Alther, E., Papaloïzos, M., *et al*. (2008). Controlled nerve growth factor release from multi-ply alginate/chitosan-based nerve conduits. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 69, 563–572. doi: 10.1016/j.ejpb.2008.
- 56. Altman, G. H., Diaz, F., Jakuba, C., Calabro, T., Horan, R. L., Chen, J. (2003). Silk-based biomaterials. Biomaterials 24, 401–416. doi: 10.1016/S0142-9612(02) 00353-8
- 57. Angius, D., Wang, H., Spinner, R. J., Gutierrez-Cotto, Y., Yaszemski, M. J., and Windebank, A. J. (2012). A systematic review of animal models used to study nerve regeneration in tissue-engineered scaffolds. Biomaterials 33, 8034–8039.doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2012.07.056
- 58. Anjum, A., Zuber, M., Zia, K. M., Noreen, A., Anjum, M. N., and Tabasum, S. (2016). Microbial production of polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) and its copolymers: a review of recent advancements. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 89, 161–174. doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2016.04.069
- 59. Cao, Y., and Wang, B. (2009). Biodegradation of silk biomaterials. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 10, 1514–1524. doi: 10.3390/ijms10041514
- 60. Carvalho, C. R., Oliveira, J. M., and Reis, R. L. (2019). Modern trends for peripheral nerve repair and regeneration: beyond the hollow nerve guidance conduit. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 7:337. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2019.00337
- 61. Cenni, E., Ciapetti, G., Stea, S., Corradini, A., and Carozzi, F. (2000). Biocompatibility and performance *in*

vitro of a hemostatic gelatin sponge. J. Biomater. Sci. Polym. Ed. 11, 685–699. doi: 10.1163/156856200743959

- 62. Chang, J.-Y., Ho, T.-Y., Lee, H.-C., Lai, Y.-L., Lu, M.-C., Yao, C.-H. (2009). Highly permeable genipin-cross-linked gelatin conduits enhance peripheral nerve regeneration. Artif. Org. 33, 1075–1085. doi: 10.1111/j.1525-1594.2009. 00818.
- 63. Chen, G.Q. (2010). "Plastics Completely Synthesized by Bacteria: Polyhydroxyalkanoates," in. Berlin: Springer, 17–37. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-03287-5_2
- 64. Chen, H., Xie, S., Yang, Y., Zhang, J., and Zhang, Z. (2018). Multiscale regeneration scaffold *in vitro* and *in vivo*. J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part B Appl. Biomater. 106, 1218-1225. doi: 10.1002/jbm.b.33926
- 65. Chen, P. R., Chen, M. H., Lin, F. H., and Su, W. Y. (2005). Release characteristics and bioactivity of gelatintricalcium phosphate membranes covalently immobilized with nerve growth factors. Biomaterials 26, 6579–6587. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2005.03.037
- 66. Chen, S., Zhao, Y., Yan, X., Zhang, L., Li, G., and Yang, Y. (2019). PAM/GO/gel/SA composite hydrogel conduit with bioactivity for repairing peripheral nerve injury. J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part A. 107, 1273-1283. doi: 10.1002/jbm.a.36637
- 67. Chen, Y. S., Chang, J. Y., Cheng, C. Y., Tsai, F. J., Yao, C. H., and Liu, B. S (2005). An *in vivo* evaluation of a biodegradable genipin-cross-linked gelatin peripheral nerve guide conduit material. Biomaterials 26, 3911–3918. doi: 10. 1016/j.biomaterials.2004.09.060
- 68. Chiono, V., and Tonda-Turo, C. (2015). Trends in the design of nerve guidance channels in peripheral nerve tissue engineering. Prog. Neurobiol. 131, 87–104. doi: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2015.06.001
- 69. Chrz ˛ aszcz, P., Derbisz, K., Suszy´nski, K., *et al*., (2018). Application of peripheral nerve conduits in clinical practice: a literature review. Neurol. Neurochir. Pol. 52, 427–435. doi: 10.1016/j. pjnns.2018.06.003
- 70. Clements, B. A., Bushman, J., Murthy, N. S., Ezra, M., Pastore, C. M., and Kohn, J. (2016). Design of barrier coatings on kink-resistant peripheral nerve conduits. J. Tissue Eng. 7, 2041731416629471. doi: 10.1177/2041731416629471
- 71. Costantini, M., Colosi, C., Mozetic, P., Jaroszewicz, J., Tosato, A., Rainer, A. (2016). Correlation between porous texture and cell seeding efficiency of gas foaming and microfluidic foaming scaffolds. Mater. Sci. Eng. C 62, 668-677. doi: 10.1016/j.msec.2016.02.010
- 72. Crosio, A., Fornasari, B., Gambarotta, G., *et al*. (2019). Chitosan tubes enriched with fresh skeletal muscle fibers for delayed repair of peripheral nerve defects. Neural Regen. Res. 14:1079. doi:10.4103/1673-5374.250628
- 73. Dadsetan, M., Knight, A. M., Lu, L., Windebank, A. J., and Yaszemski, M. J. (2009). Stimulation of neurite outgrowth using positively charged hydrogels. Biomaterials 30, 3874–3881. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2009.04.018
- 74. Saltzman, E. B., Villa, J. C., Doty, S. B., Feinberg, J. H., Lee, S. K., and Wolfe, S. W. (2019). A comparison between two collagen nerve conduits and nerve autograft: a rat model of motor nerve regeneration. J. Hand Surg. Am. 44, 700.e1–700.e9. doi: 10.1016/j.jhsa.2018.
- 75. Chen, P. R., Chen, M. H., Lin, F. H., and Su, W. Y. (2005). Release characteristics and bioactivity of gelatintricalcium phosphate membranes covalently immobilized with nerve growth factors. Biomaterials 26, 6579–6587. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2005.03.037
- 76. Chen, S., Zhao, Y., Yan, X., Zhang, L., Li, G., and Yang, Y. (2019). PAM/GO/gel/SA Composite hydrogel conduit with bioactivity for repairing peripheral nerve injury. J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part A. 107, 1273-1283. doi: 10.1002/jbm.a.36637.
- 77. Liu, Y., and Hsu, S. (2020). Biomaterials and neural regeneration. Neural Regen. Res. 15:1243. doi: 10.4103/1673-5374.272573
- 78. Loverde, J. R., Ozoka, V. C., Aquino, R., Lin, L., and Pfister, B. J. (2011). Live imaging of axon stretch growth in embryonic and adult neurons. J. Neurotr. 28, 2389-2403. doi: 10.1089/neu.2010.1598
- 79. Loverde, J. R., and Pfister, B. J. (2015). Developmental axon stretch stimulates neuron growth while maintaining normal electrical activity, intracellular calcium flux, and somatic morphology. Front. Cell. Neurosci. 9:308. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2015.00308
- 80. Lundborg, G. (2000). A 25-year perspective of peripheral nerve surgery: evolving neuroscientific concepts and clinical significance. J. Hand Surg. Am. 25, 391–414. doi: 10.1053/jhsu.2000.4165
- 81. Lyons, K. M., Pelton, R. W., and Hogan, B. L. M. (1990). Organogenesis and pattern formation in the mouse: RNA distribution patterns suggest a role for bone morphogenetic protein-2A (BMP-2A). Development 109, 833-844. doi:10.1016/0168-9525(90)90274-a
- 82. Magaz, A., Faroni, A., Gough, J. E., Reid, A. J., Li, X., and Blaker, J. J. (2018). Bioactive silk-based nerve guidance conduits for augmenting peripheral nerve repair. Adv. Healthc. Mater. 7:e1800308. doi: 10.1002/adhm.201800308
- 83. Maiti, B., and Díaz, D. D. (2018). 3D printed polymeric hydrogels for nerve regeneration. Polymers 10:1041. doi: 10.3390/POLYM10091041
- 84. Marshall, S. J., Bayne, S. C., Baier, R., Tomsia, A. P., and Marshall, G. W. (2010). A review of adhesion science. Dent. Mater. 26, e11–e16. doi: 10.1016/j.dental. 2009.11.157
- 85. Kalbermatten, D. F., Erba, P., Mahay, D., Wiberg, M., Pierer, G., and Terenghi, G. (2008a). Schwann cell strip for peripheral nerve repair. J. Hand Surg. Eur. 33, 587– 594. doi: 10.1177/1753193408090755.
- 86. Kaplan, H. M., Mishra, P., and Kohn, J. (2015). The overwhelming use of rat models in nerve regeneration research may compromise designs of nerve guidance conduits for humans. J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med. 26, 1–5. doi: 10.1007/s10856-015-5558-4
- 87. Karimi, A., Karbasi, S., Razavi, S., and Zargar, E. N. (2018). Poly(hydroxybutyrate)/chitosan Aligned Electrospun Scaffold as a Novel Substrate for Nerve Tissue Engineering. Adv. Biomed. Res. 7:44. doi: 10.4103/abr.abr_277_16
- 88. Gupta, P., and Nayak, K. K. (2016). Optimization of keratin/alginate scaffold using RSM and its characterization for tissue engineering. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 85, 141–149. doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2015.12.010.