Universal Journal of Pharmaceutical Research

Available online at www.ujpronline.com
Universal Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
An International Peer Reviewed Journal
ISSN: 2831-5235 (Print); 2456-8058 (Electronic)

REVIEW ARTICLE

ANTIMATTER: THE POTENTIAL IMPACT ON THE FUTURE OF MEDICAL AND PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES

Mostafa Essam Eissa
Independent Researcher and Consultant, Cairo, Egypt.

Article Info:

Article History:
Received: 13 January 2025
Reviewed: 12 March 2025
Accepted: 14 April 2025
Published: 15 May 2025

Cite this article:
Eissa ME. Antimatter: The potential impact on the future of medical and pharmaceutical industries. Universal Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 2025; 10(2): 70-78.
http://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v10i2.1317

***Address for Correspondence:**
Dr. Mostafa Essam Eissa, Independent Researcher and Consultant, Cairo, Egypt. Tel: +20100615485;
E-mail: *mostafaessameissa@yahoo.com*

Abstract

Antimatter comprises antiparticles that mirror ordinary matter in mass but exhibit inverted quantum properties, such as charge. Theoretical predictions by Paul Dirac in 1928 laid the groundwork for its discovery, which Carl Anderson achieved experimentally in 1932 through positron detection in cosmic ray studies. Subsequent discoveries of antiprotons and antineutrons further solidified the concept of antimatter. Antimatter is produced in high-energy particle accelerators, cosmic ray interactions with Earth's atmosphere, and certain types of radioactive decay. Particle accelerators, such as linear accelerators, cyclotrons, synchrotrons, betatrons, Cockcroft-Walton generators and Van de Graaff generators, play a crucial role in antimatter production and research. This property makes antimatter both a potential energy source and a subject of safety concerns due to the immense energy release upon annihilation. Storing antimatter safely involves sophisticated techniques like magnetic traps, magnetic bottles and electrostatic traps, which prevent antimatter from coming into contact with matter. The study of antimatter also addresses fundamental questions in physics, such as the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the universe. Despite the challenges in production, storage, and handling, ongoing research aims to unlock the secrets of antimatter and harness its potential for scientific and practical advancements. This review highlights the history, production methods, potential applications and challenges associated with antimatter, emphasizing its significance in both fundamental research and potential technological innovations.
Keywords: Antimatter, CERN, MRI, particle accelerators, pet, positrons.

INTRODUCTION

Antimatter, a cornerstone of modern physics, consists of antiparticles that share identical mass with their matter counterparts but exhibit reversed quantum characteristics, including charge[1]. This duality has captivated researchers since its theoretical inception[2]. The existence of antimatter was first predicted by theoretical physicists in the early 20th century, and its discovery has since opened up new avenues of research in both fundamental physics and potential practical applications[3]. This brief review aimed to bridge between the theoretical aspect of antimatter physics and the real world implementation for sack of advancement of humanity in different fields.

History and discovery of antimatter

The story of antimatter begins with the development of quantum mechanics and the theory of relativity in the early 1900s[4]. Paul Dirac's 1928 relativistic equation unified quantum mechanics and special relativity, mathematically predicting a positively charged electron counterpart. This particle, later termed the positron, was experimentally identified by Carl Anderson in 1932[5]. Dirac's equation predicted the existence of a particle identical to the electron but with a positive charge[6]. This theoretical particle was later named the positron[7].

Dirac Equation: This equation combines quantum mechanics and special relativity to describe the behavior of electrons and other spin-1/2 particles[8].

The Dirac equation is:

$$(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial\mu - m)\psi = 0,$$ where:

i=imaginary unit, γ^{μ}= gamma matrices, $\partial\mu$= partial derivative with respect to space time coordinates, m= mass of the particle, Ψ= wave function of the particle.

This equation led to the prediction of the positron, an antiparticle of the electron with the same mass but opposite charge. The first experimental evidence for the existence of positrons came in 1932 when American physicist Carl Anderson observed them in a cloud chamber while studying cosmic rays[9]. Anderson's discovery confirmed Dirac's prediction and earned him the Nobel Prize in Physics in 1936[10].

This marked the beginning of experimental antimatter research. Following the discovery of the positron, scientists began to search for other antiparticles[11]. In 1955, antiprotons were discovered by Emilio Segrè and Owen Chamberlain at the University of California, Berkeley, using a particle accelerator[12]. This discovery was followed by the identification of antineutrons in 1956[13]. These findings demonstrated that antimatter counterparts exist for all known particles, leading to the concept of antimatter as a whole.

Antimatter is composed of antiparticles, which are the counterparts of the particles that make up ordinary matter[3,14]. For example, the antiparticle of the electron is the positron, which has the same mass as the electron but a positive charge[15]. Similarly, the antiproton is the antiparticle of the proton, with the same mass but a negative charge[16]. When a particle and its corresponding antiparticle come into contact, they annihilate each other, releasing energy in the form of gamma (γ) rays.

Antimatter in the Universe

One of the great mysteries in physics is the apparent asymmetry between matter and antimatter in the observable universe[17]. According to the Big Bang theory, equal amounts of matter and antimatter should have been created in the early universe[18]. However, our universe appears to be composed almost entirely of matter, with very little antimatter present[19]. This discrepancy is known as the matter-antimatter asymmetry problem[20]. This asymmetry, known as baryogenesis, is one of the great unsolved problems in physics.

Scientists are actively researching this asymmetry to understand why the universe is dominated by matter[21]. Various theories have been proposed, including the possibility of Charge-Parity (CP) violation, which suggests that the laws of physics may not apply equally to matter and antimatter[22]. Experiments at particle accelerators, such as those conducted at European Organization for Nuclear Research or in French: Conseil Européen pour la Recherche Nucléaire (CERN), are designed to investigate these phenomena and shed light on the origins of the matter-antimatter imbalance[23].

Antimatter in fundamental physics

Antimatter research offers pivotal insights into the Standard Model of particle physics, enabling rigorous validation of theories governing subatomic particle behavior and interactions[24]. Such studies are essential for probing unresolved questions in fundamental physics[25]. Experiments with antimatter, such as those conducted at CERN's Antiproton Decelerator, aim to measure the properties of antiparticles with high precision[26,27]. These experiments test the symmetry between matter and antimatter, known as CPT symmetry (Charge, Parity and Time reversal symmetry) and seek to uncover any deviations that could indicate new physics beyond the standard model[28].

Sources of antimatter

Antimatter production is a sophisticated and complex process that primarily occurs in high-energy physics laboratories[29]. However, there are few main methods used to produce antimatter:

Particle Accelerators

Particle accelerators are the primary tools for producing antimatters[30]. These machines accelerate particles to extremely high speeds and then collide them with a target or with each other[31]. The energy from these collisions can create particle-antiparticle pairs[32,33]. For example, when protons are accelerated and smashed into a target, the energy released can produce antiprotons and positrons (the antimatter counterparts of protons and electrons, respectively).

Natural Sources

Cosmic rays: Antimatter is also produced naturally in the universe[34]. Cosmic rays, which are high-energy particles from space, can collide with atoms in the Earth's atmosphere, producing particle-antiparticle pairs[1,35]. However, the amount of antimatter produced this way is extremely small and not practical for large-scale use[36]. Astrophysical phenomena also contribute to our understanding of antimatter[37]. For instance, the study of gamma-ray bursts and supernovae has revealed that these cataclysmic events can produce significant amounts of antimatter[38]. Observations of the center of our galaxy, the Milky Way, have detected gamma rays that are believed to result from the annihilation of positrons with electrons[39]. These findings suggest that antimatter plays a role in some of the most energetic processes in the universe.

Radioactive Decay

Radioactive isotopes: Certain types of radioactive decay can produce positrons[40]. For example, the decay of potassium-40, a naturally occurring isotope found in bananas and other foods, can emit positrons[41]. This process, known as beta-plus decay, is a key mechanism by which positrons are produced in nature[42,43]. This process is used in Positron Emission Tomography (PET) scans, a medical imaging technique.

Antihydrogen Production

Antihydrogen: Scientists have successfully created antihydrogen atoms by combining antiprotons and positrons[44]. This is done in specialized facilities like CERN's Antiproton Decelerator, where antiprotons are slowed down and combined with positrons to form antihydrogen[45]. These experiments are crucial for studying the properties of antimatter and understanding fundamental physics.

Challenges in antimatter production

Producing antimatter is incredibly challenging and expensive[46]. The main issues includes-

Energy requirements: Creating antimatter requires enormous amounts of energy[47]. For instance, producing just one gram of antimatter would require about 25 million billion kilowatt-hours of energy[1,48].

Containment: Antimatter annihilates upon contact with matter, releasing a burst of energy[49]. This makes it extremely difficult to store and handle[50]. Scientists use magnetic and electric fields to trap antimatter particles in a vacuum, preventing them from coming into contact with matter[51].

Cost: The cost of producing antimatter is astronomical. Current estimates suggest that producing one gram of antimatter would cost around $62.5 trillion[30,52].

Despite these challenges, research into antimatter production continues, driven by its potential applications in medicine, energy and fundamental physics[53]. Advances in technology and a deeper understanding of particle physics may one day make antimatter production more feasible and cost-effective[54]. These applications highlight the diverse potential of antimatter beyond the medical and pharmaceutical fields, including its theoretical use in military technology. While many of these applications are still in the realm of science fiction or early research, they represent exciting possibilities for future technological advancements.

Established Scientific Applications

Fundamental Physics: Antimatter research primarily tests the standard Model of particle physics, particularly the unexplained matter-antimatter asymmetry in the universe (CP violation). Experiments like CERN's ALPHA and BASE collaborations study antihydrogen to compare its properties (e.g., mass, charge, spectral lines) with ordinary hydrogen.

Material Science: Positron annihilation spectroscopy is a widely used analytical tool. Positrons (antielectrons) are injected into materials to detect atomic-scale defects, voids, or electron density variations, aiding in semiconductor and metallurgical research.

Astrophysics: Antimatter is observed in cosmic phenomena such as gamma-ray bursts (from particle-antiparticle annihilation) and cosmic rays (containing antiprotons). Its role in high-energy astrophysical processes remains an active research topic.

Particle Physics: Colliders like the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) use antimatter particles (e.g., antiprotons) to recreate primordial matter and study fundamental interactions.

Antimatter Storage: Advanced magnetic and electrostatic traps (e.g., Penning traps) have enabled short-term storage of antihydrogen (up to ~17 minutes in CERN experiments) [55,75]. This is critical for precise antimatter studies.

Theoretical or Early-Stage Research

Energy Production: Producing 1 gram of antimatter requires a minimum of 25 TWh (theoretical limit at 100% efficiency). However, due to extreme inefficiencies in real-world production, the actual energy needed could approach 25,000 TWh-equivalent to the world's total annual energy output. Thus, commercial production of antimatter is not feasible under current technology.

Space Propulsion: Theoretical concepts propose antimatter as a fuel for interstellar travel. For example, **antimatter-catalyzed fusion** (using tiny antimatter quantities to trigger nuclear reactions) could reduce fuel mass. However, no viable propulsion systems exist due to antimatter's scarcity and storage challenges.

Nuclear Physics: Antiprotons are occasionally used to probe nuclear reactions and exotic nuclei, but this remains a niche experimental field[55-75].

The potential applications of antimatter in medical and pharmaceutical fields

The potential applications of antimatter in the medical and pharmaceutical fields are vast and varied[14]. While many of these applications are still theoretical, ongoing research and technological advancements continue to push the boundaries of what is possible[75]. The unique properties of antimatter, such as its ability to annihilate matter and release large amounts of energy, make it a promising tool for a wide range of medical applications[30]. However, significant challenges remain in terms of producing, controlling and safely using antimatter for these purposes[76]. Continued advancements in particle physics, engineering and nanotechnology will be crucial in realizing the full potential of antimatter in medicine.

Targeted Cancer Therapy

Antimatter-based beams: The concept of using antimatter particles, such as positrons or antiprotons, to create highly focused beams of radiation for cancer therapy is an exciting area of research[77]. Antimatter particles, such as antiprotons, could enable ultra-precise radiation delivery in oncology[78]. Their annihilation with matter generates localized gamma-ray energy bursts, which may selectively eradicate tumors while sparing adjacent healthy cells[79]. As it would be expected, when antimatter particles collide with matter, they annihilate each other, releasing a burst of energy in the form of gamma rays[80]. This annihilation process can be harnessed to deliver a concentrated dose of radiation directly to the tumor site, sparing healthy tissues and reducing side effects[81]. One of the main challenges in this approach is the production and containment of sufficient quantities of antimatter[30]. Currently, antimatter is produced in particle accelerators, but the quantities are minuscule and the cost is prohibitively high[82]. Advances in particle physics and engineering are needed to make this a viable option for widespread clinical use.

Nanoscale Medicine

Antimatter-powered nanorobots: The idea of using nanorobots powered by antimatter for medical applications is both futuristic and promising[83,84]. These tiny robots could be designed to navigate through the human body, delivering drugs to specific cells or tissues or performing delicate surgeries at the cellular level. The use of antimatter as a power source for these nanorobots could provide them with a highly efficient and compact energy supply, enabling them to operate for extended periods without the need for recharging[85]. In practice, these nanorobots could be programmed to seek out and destroy cancer cells, repair damaged tissues or even correct genetic defects[86,87]. The precision and control offered by nanotechnology, combined with the immense energy density of antimatter, could revolutionize the treatment of a wide range of diseases[88]. However, significant technological and safety challenges must be overcome before this becomes a reality, including the safe production, storage and handling of antimatter.

Neuroscience and Imaging

Antimatter-based imaging: Antimatter could be used to develop new imaging techniques that provide unprecedented detail about the brain and nervous system[78,89]. One potential application is the use of positrons in advanced imaging modalities. PET is already a well-established technique that uses positrons to create detailed images of metabolic processes in the body[90]. By extending this technology, researchers could develop new imaging methods that offer even greater resolution and specificity[91]. These advanced imaging techniques could help researchers better understand the underlying causes of neurological disorders such as Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease and multiple sclerosis[92,93]. By providing detailed images of brain activity and structure, antimatter-based imaging could facilitate the development of new treatments and therapies for these conditions.

Antimatter-based Pharmaceuticals

New drug delivery systems: Antimatter could be used to develop innovative drug delivery systems that target specific cells or tissues with greater precision. For example, antimatter particles could be incorporated into drug molecules or delivery vehicles, allowing for highly targeted delivery of therapeutic agents[94]. This could improve the effectiveness of existing drugs and reduce side effects by ensuring that the drugs are delivered only to the intended target cells[95]. One potential application is the use of antimatter in the treatment of cancer[96]. By attaching antimatter particles to chemotherapy drugs, it may be possible to deliver the drugs directly to cancer cells, minimizing damage to healthy tissues and reducing the side effects associated with traditional chemotherapy[77,97]. This approach could also be applied to other diseases, such as autoimmune disorders and infectious diseases, where targeted drug delivery is crucial for effective treatment.

Nuclear Medicine

Antimatter-based radiotracers: Antimatter could be used to create new radiotracers for medical imaging and therapy[98]. Radiotracers are substances that emit radiation and can be used to visualize and diagnose various medical conditions[99]. Antimatter-based radiotracers, such as those using positrons, could provide higher sensitivity and specificity than existing options[100]. For example, positron-emitting radiotracers are already used in PET scans to detect cancer, monitor heart function, and study brain activity[101]. By developing new antimatter-based radiotracers, researchers could improve the accuracy and effectiveness of these diagnostic tools, leading to earlier detection and better treatment outcomes[100,102]. Additionally, antimatter-based radiotracers could be used in targeted radiotherapy, where the radiation is delivered directly to the tumor site, minimizing damage to surrounding healthy tissues.

Advanced Diagnostic Techniques

Antimatter-enhanced MRI: Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) is a powerful diagnostic tool that uses magnetic fields and radio waves to create detailed images of the body's internal structures[103]. By incorporating antimatter, such as positrons, into MRI technology, it could be possible to enhance the resolution and sensitivity of the images[104,105]. This could lead to earlier and more accurate diagnoses of various conditions, including tumors, vascular diseases and neurological disorders.

Precision Surgery

Antimatter scalpels: The concept of using antimatter in surgical tools, such as scalpels, is another intriguing possibility[106]. An antimatter scalpel could theoretically make extremely precise cuts at the molecular level, minimizing damage to surrounding tissues and reducing recovery times. This could be particularly beneficial for delicate surgeries, such as those involving the brain, eyes or other sensitive organs[106].

Regenerative Medicine

Antimatter in tissue engineering: In the field of regenerative medicine, antimatter could play a role in the development of new tissues and organs. By using antimatter particles to precisely control the growth and differentiation of stem cells, researchers could potentially create custom tissues and organs for transplantation[70,74,75]. This futuristic view could address the shortage of donor organs and improve outcomes for patients requiring transplants.

Enhanced Drug Development

Antimatter in drug discovery: Antimatter has been used in various advanced scientific experiments, such as probing fundamental particles and studying matter-antimatter asymmetry[107]. These techniques could potentially be adapted for biological applications in the future. Antimatter could be used to accelerate the drug discovery process by providing new ways to study molecular interactions[108]. For example, antimatter particles could be used to probe the structure and function of proteins, enzymes and other biomolecules with unprecedented detail[109]. This could lead to the identification of new drug targets and the development of more effective therapies for a wide range of diseases.

Personalized Medicine

Antimatter-based diagnostics: Personalized medicine aims to tailor treatments to individual patients based on their genetic makeup and other factors[110]. Antimatter-based diagnostics could provide highly detailed information about a patient's unique biological characteristics, enabling more precise and effective treatments[111]. For example, antimatter particles could be used to detect specific biomarkers associated with certain diseases, allowing for earlier and more accurate diagnoses[112]. Nevertheless, while these potential applications of antimatter in the medical and pharmaceutical fields are still largely theoretical, they represent exciting avenues for future research and development[106]. The unique properties of antimatter, such as its ability to annihilate matter and release large amounts of energy, make it a promising tool for a wide range of medical applications[30,113]. However, significant challenges remain in terms of producing, controlling and safely using antimatter for these purposes[114]. Continued advancements in particle physics, engineering and nanotechnology will be crucial in realizing the full potential of antimatter in medicine.

Methods of storing antimatter

Storing antimatter safely is one of the most significant challenges in antimatter research due to its unique properties[1]. When antimatter comes into contact with matter, they annihilate each other, releasing a tremendous amount of energy[115]. Despite the existing challenges, there are the current methods associated with storing antimatter:

Magnetic Traps (Penning Traps): Penning traps use a combination of electric and magnetic fields to confine charged particles, such as antiprotons and positrons, in a vacuum[116]. These traps can hold antimatter particles for extended periods, preventing them from coming into contact with matter[117]. As an example, CERN's Antiproton Decelerator uses Penning traps to store and study antiprotons[118].

Magnetic Bottles: Magnetic bottles are another method for storing antimatter[119]. These devices use magnetic fields to create a “bottle” that can contain charged antimatter particles[120]. The magnetic fields keep the particles suspended in a vacuum, away from the walls of the container[121]. A notable example is the Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer (AMS) on the International Space Station (ISS) which uses magnetic fields to study cosmic antimatter[122].

Electrostatic Traps: Electrostatic traps use electric fields to confine charged antimatter particles[123,124]. These traps can be used in conjunction with magnetic fields to enhance confinement and stability.

Challenges in storing antimatter

Containment

Annihilation risk: The primary challenge is preventing antimatter from coming into contact with matter. Even the slightest contact can result in annihilation, releasing a burst of energy[74,125].

Vacuum requirements: Antimatter must be stored in an ultra-high vacuum to minimize the risk of contact with matter[126,127]. Maintaining such a vacuum is technically demanding and costly.

Cooling

Thermal motion: Antimatter particles must be cooled to very low temperatures to reduce their thermal motion[128]. High-energy particles are more likely to escape confinement, increasing the risk of annihilation[129].

Cryogenic systems: Advanced cryogenic systems are required to cool antimatter particles, adding complexity and expense to the storage process[64].

Stability

Long-term storage: Maintaining the stability of antimatter over long periods is challenging[130]. Magnetic and electrostatic fields must be precisely controlled to prevent particles from escaping[131].

Technological limitations: Current technology limits the amount of antimatter that can be stored and the duration for which it can be safely contained[30].

FUTURE PROSPECTS

Despite these challenges, researchers are continually working on improving antimatter storage techniques. Advances in magnetic and electrostatic confinement, cryogenics and vacuum technology may one day make it possible to store larger quantities of antimatter safely and for longer periods[64,128-131]. These advancements could pave the way for practical uses of antimatter in medicine, energy and other fields. These advancements could pave the way for practical applications of antimatter in medicine, energy and other fields.

Limitations and challenges associated with application of antimatter

Antimatter, while interesting and potentially useful, poses several significant dangers due to its unique properties[3]. Currently, there are some of the primary dangers associated with antimatter:

Annihilation with Matter

Energy Release: When antimatter comes into contact with matter, they annihilate each other, releasing a tremendous amount of energy[132]. This process converts the entire mass of both the antimatter and matter into energy, according to Einstein's equation ($E=m.c^2$)[133]. For example, one gram of antimatter reacting with one gram of matter would release energy equivalent to about 43 kilotons of TNT, which is roughly equivalent to the energy released by the atomic bomb dropped on Nagasaki in 1945[134]. This immense energy release makes antimatter extremely dangerous if not properly contained.

Containment Challenges

Storage and Handling: Storing antimatter safely is a significant challenge. Antimatter must be kept in a vacuum and isolated from any contact with matter, which requires sophisticated magnetic and electric fields. Even a tiny amount of antimatter coming into contact with matter can cause a catastrophic explosion[134]. The technology to store and handle antimatter safely is still in its infancy and any failure in containment could have disastrous consequences.

Production Costs

High Costs: Producing antimatter is incredibly expensive and energy-intensive. Current estimates suggest that producing one gram of antimatter would astronomical cost as mentioned earlier[135]. This high cost makes it impractical for most applications and limits the amount of antimatter that can be produced and stored.

Potential for Weaponization

Antimatter Weapons: The theoretical potential for antimatter to be used in weapons is a significant concern. An antimatter weapon would be far more powerful than any conventional or nuclear weapon, as the energy released from matter-antimatter annihilation is orders of magnitude greater than that from nuclear fission or fusion[136]. While the current cost and difficulty of producing and storing antimatter make such weapons impractical, the potential for future advancements raises serious ethical and security concerns.

Environmental and Safety Risks

Radiation: The annihilation of antimatter with matter produces high-energy gamma rays, which are a form of ionizing radiation. This radiation can be harmful to living organisms and can cause damage to electronic equipment[137]. Proper shielding and safety measures are essential to protect against this radiation.

Limited Understanding

Scientific Uncertainties: Our understanding of antimatter is still limited, and there may be unknown risks associated with its production, storage and use[138]. Ongoing research is essential to fully understand the properties and potential dangers of antimatter.

CONCLUSIONS

While antimatter holds great promise for various applications, including medical imaging and potential energy sources, its dangers cannot be overlooked. The challenges of safe containment, high production costs and the potential for weaponization make antimatter a double-edged sword. Continued research and technological advancements are necessary to mitigate these risks and harness the benefits of antimatter safely. Overcoming the current technological limitations is crucial to achieve observable strides in this exciting area. Furthermore, the industrialization of antimatter technologies will require a thorough implementation of advanced SPC to ensure safety, quality, reproducibility and efficacy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None to declare.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS

Eissa ME: conceived the idea, writing the manuscript, literature survey, formal analysis, critical review.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Data will be made available on request.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None to declare.

REFERENCES

1. Hossain KA. Evaluate the mystery of creation of universe and existence of antimatter, dark matter and dark energy. Int J Curr Sci Res Rev 2023 Jun;6(06):3581-3600. *https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/V6-i6-52*
2. Gato-Rivera B. Antimatter. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing; 2021. *https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-67791-6*
3. Close F. Antimatter. Oxford University Press, USA; 2018 Oct 25.
4. Peacock KA. The quantum revolution: a historical perspective. Bloomsbury Publishing USA; 2007 Dec 30.
5. Kragh H. Paul Dirac and the principles of quantum mechanics. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 1990.
6. Dirac PA. Classical theory of radiating electrons. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences. 1938 Aug 5; 167(929):148-69. *https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1938.0124*
7. Hanson NR. The concept of the positron: A philosophical analysis. Cambridge University Press; 2010 Aug 26. *https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511622755*
8. Discovering the positron; timeline.web.cern.ch. timeline.web.cern.ch. Available from: *https://timeline.web.cern.ch/timeline-header/142*
9. Franklin A, Laymon R, Franklin A, Laymon R. The discovery of the positron. Once can be enough: Decisive experiments, no replication required 2021:31-57. *https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-62565-8_3*
10. Orrman-Rossiter K. Places of ‘Invention and Discovery’ and the Nobel Prize in Physics. Notes and Records. 2021 Sep 20;75(3):439-60. *https://doi.org/10.1098/rsnr.2020.0014*
11. Bertolotti M. The positive electron (Positron). Celestial messengers: Cosmic rays: The Story of a Scientific Adventure. 2013:105-26. *https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-28371-0_6*
12. Heilbron JL. The detection of the antiproton. In Restructuring of physical sciences in Europe and the United States-1945-1960. The proceedings of the international conference 1989 Jun 1; 161. World Scientific.
13. Kragh H. Antimatter in astronomy and cosmology: The early history. Annals Sci 2025 Jan 10:1-25. *https://doi.org/10.1080/00033790.2025.2449861*
14. Hamouda SA. Matter-antimatter and its Potential Applications. Department of Physics, University of Benghazi, Libya; 2020.
15. Drell SD. Electron-positron annihilation and the new particles. Sci American 1975 Jun 1; 232(6):50-65.
16. Shabir AS, Mir M. Study of the observables of highly compressed baryonic matter produced in nucleus-nucleus collision in CBM experiment at FAIR (Doctoral dissertation).
17. Shabir AS, Mir M. Study of the Observables of Highly Compressed Baryonic Matter Produced in Nucleus-Nucleus Collision in CBM Experiment at FAIR (Doctoral dissertation). Department of Physics, University of Kashmir, Srinagar.
18. Canetti L, Drewes M, Shaposhnikov M. Matter and antimatter in the Universe. New J Phys 2012 Sep 17;14(9):095012. https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/14/9/095012
19. Berezhiani Z. Matter, dark matter, and antimatter in our Universe. Int J Modern Physics 2018 Nov 10;33(31): 1844034. *https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X18440347*
20. Garbrecht B. Why is there more matter than antimatter? Calculational methods for leptogenesis and electroweak baryogenesis. Progress Part Nuc Physics 2020 Jan 1;110:103727. *https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2019.103727*
21. Ali M. The Mystery of Antimatter: What we know so far. Worldwide J Phys 2020 Jun 30;1(01):64-73.
22. Galymov V. An overview of the searches for the violation of the charge-parity symmetry in the leptonic sector. Symmetry 2024 Jan 22;16(1):130. *https://doi.org/10.3390/sym16010130*
23. Gillies J. CERN and the Higgs Boson: The global quest for the building blocks of reality. Icon Books; 2018 Oct 4.
24. Ma YG, Chen JH, Xue L. A brief review of antimatter production. Frontiers Physics 2012 Dec;7(6):637-46. *https://doi.org/10.1007/s11467-012-0273-9*
25. Virdee TS. Beyond the standard model of particle physics. Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society A: Math, Physical Engineering Sci 2016 Aug 28;374(2075): 20150259. *https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2015.0259*
26. Hori M, Walz J. Physics at CERN’s antiproton decelerator. Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics. 2013 Sep 1; 72:206-53. *https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2013.02.004*
27. Tietje IC. Low-energy antimatter experiments at the antiproton decelerator at CERN: Testing CPT invariance and the WEP. Journal of Physics: Conference Series 2018 Aug 1; 1071(1): 012021). *https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1071/1/012021*
28. Charlton M, Eriksson S, Shore GM. Testing fundamental physics in antihydrogen experiments. arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.09348. 2020 Feb 21. *https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2002.09348*
29. Schippers S, Sokell E, Aumayr F, *et al*. Roadmap on photonic, electronic and atomic collision physics: II.

Electron and antimatter interactions. J Physics B: Atomic, molecular and optical physics 2019 Aug 9;52(17):171002. https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6455/ab26e0
30. Youvan DC. Pathways to antimatter abundance: exploring breakthroughs for large-scale antimatter production, applications, and explosive potential 2024. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387029365
31. Wilson E. An introduction to particle accelerators. Oxford University Press; 2001. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198508298.001.0001
32. Chen J, Keane D, Ma YG, Tang A, Xu Z. Antinuclei in heavy-ion collisions. Physics Reports 2018 Oct 20;760:1-39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2018.07.002
33. Suit H. Proton: The particle. Int J Radiation Oncol Biol Physics. 2013 Nov 1;87(3):555-61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2013.06.2033
34. Dolgov AD. Matter and antimatter in the universe. Nuclear Physics B-Proceedings Supplements. 2002 Dec 1;113(1-3):40-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0920-5632(02)01821-2
35. Tang TB, Fang LZ. The cosmic asymmetry in matter-antimatter. Vistas Astr 1984 Jan 1; 27:1-23. https://doi.org/10.1016/0083-6656(84)90010-2
36. Rider TH. Fundamental constraints on large-scale antimatter rocket propulsion. J Propulsion Power 1997 May; 13(3):435-43. https://doi.org/10.2514/2.5182
37. Steigman G. Observational tests of antimatter cosmologies. Annual review of astronomy and astrophysics 1976; 14:339-372.
38. Vincent JR. Matter-antimatter annihilation and the cosmic gamma-ray burst. Stockholm, Sweden: KTH Royal Institute of Technology; 1976.
39. Panther FH. Positron Annihilation in the Milky Way: Searching for the source of galactic antimatter (Doctoral dissertation). Canberra, Australia: The Australian National University; 2019.
40. Podgorsak EB, Podgoršak EB. Modes of radioactive decay. Compendium to radiation physics for medical physicists: 300 Problems and Solutions. 2014:693-786. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-20186-8_11
41. Ventura S. Determination of the radioactive potassium content in bananas. Handlenet 2019. https://hdl.handle.net/2445/138019
42. Pathak A. Radioactive Decay Laws. Tools and Techniques in Radiation Biophysics 2023 Dec 28; 55-73. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-6086-6_4
43. O'Malley JP, Ziessman HA. Nuclear medicine and molecular imaging: The requisites e-book: Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2020 May 20.
44. Charlton M, Eades J, Horvath D, Hughes RJ, Zimmermann C. Antihydrogen physics. Physics Reports 1994 Jun 1;241(2):65-117. https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(94)90081-7
45. Bertsche WA, Butler E, Charlton M, Madsen N. Physics with antihydrogen. J Physics B: Atomic, molecular and optical physics 2015 Oct 6;48(23):232001. https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/48/23/232001
46. Haloulakos V, Ayotte A. The prospects for space-based antimatter production. In 27th Joint Propulsion Conference 1991:1987. https://doi.org/10.2514/6.1991-1987
47. Leftwich K. Patentable Subject [Anti] matter. Duke Law & Technology Review. 2001;1:1-14. https://scholarship.law.duke.edu/dltr/vol1/iss1/69/[1](https://scholarship.law.duke.edu/dltr/vol1/iss1/69
48. Thee M. Antimatter technology for military purposes: excerpts from a dossier and assessments of physicists. Bulletin Peace Proposals 1988 Jul; 19(3-4):443-70. https://doi.org/10.1177/096701068801900313
49. Kalhor B. Where is Antimatter? (April 20, 2020). https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3588471[1]
50. Deutsch C, Tahir NA. Fusion reactions and matter–antimatter annihilation for space propulsion. Laser Particle Beams 2006 Oct;24(4):605-16. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0263034606060691
51. Surko CM, Greaves RG. Emerging science and technology of antimatter plasmas and trap-based beams. Physics Plasmas 2004 May 1;11(5):2333-48. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1651487
52. Mathew S, Mathew S. What's the Matter with Antimatter? Essays Frontiers Modern Astrophysics Cosmol 2014:49-64. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-01887-4_4
53. Vulpetti GI. Antimatter propulsion for space exploration. J British Interplanet Soc 1986 Sep;39(9):391-409.
54. Asai S, Ballarino A, Bose T, *et al*. Exploring the quantum Universe: Pathways to innovation and discovery in particle physics. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.19176 2024 Jul 27. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2407.19176
55. Nieto MM, Holzscheiter MH, Phillips TJ. Dense antihydrogen: Its production and storage to envision antimatter propulsion. J Optics B: Quantum and semiclassical optics 2003 Oct 16;5(6):S547. https://doi.org/10.1088/1464-4266/5/6/001
56. Eissa ME. Unconventional Space Technologies: Engineering design & physics extension to propellantless propulsion. J Eng Res Rev 2024 Aug 30; 1(2):60-67. https://doi.org/0.5455/JERR.20240801110837
57. Eissa M. Beyond the rocket: A review of propellantless propulsion technologies and concepts. J Eng Res Rev 2024;1(1):1-14. https://doi.org/10.5455/JERR.20240604120507
58. Dine M, Kusenko A. Origin of the matter-antimatter asymmetry. Rev Mod Physics 2003 Dec 16;76(1):1. https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.76.1
59. Čížek J. Characterization of lattice defects in metallic materials by positron annihilation spectroscopy: A review. J Materials Sci Tech 2018 Apr 1;34(4):577-98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmst.2017.11.050
60. The STAR Collaboration. Measurement of interaction between antiprotons. Nature 2015 Nov;527(7578):345–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15724
61. Grupen C. Neutrinos, Dark Matter and Co. Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden; 2021.
62. Migliorati S. Modeling antinucleon-nucleus interactions at low energy: Analysis of experimental data using an optical potential approach. Handlenet 2024 Mar 22. Available from: https://hdl.handle.net/11379/594585
63. Fani M. Pulsed antihydrogen production for direct gravitational measurement on antimatter. Handlenet 2025 Jan 11. https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14242/107977
64. Greaves RG, Surko CM. Antimatter plasmas and antihydrogen. Physics of Plasmas. 1997 May 1;4(5):1528-43. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.872284
65. Ferrie C. What you shouldn't know about quantum computers. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.15838. 2024 May 24. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2405.15838
66. Harris VG, Andalib P. Modern quantum materials. Frontiers Mat 2024 Oct 9; 11:1343005. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmats.2024.1343005
67. Sultana N, Upadhyaya S, Sarma NS. Nanomaterial-based sensors for the detection of explosives. In Nanoscale matter and principles for sensing and labeling applications 2024 Mar 14:73-93. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore. http://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-7848-9_4
68. Sun X, Wang Y, Lei Y. Fluorescence based explosive detection: from mechanisms to sensory materials. Chem Soc Rev 2015;44(22):8019-61. https://doi.org/10.1039/x0xx00000x
69. Nielsen M. Using antimatter to detect nuclear radiation - AIP Publishing LLC. AIP Publishing LLC. Available from: https://publishing.aip.org/publications/latest-content/using-antimatter-to-detect-nuclear-radiation/
70. Bond VP, Brookhaven National Lab., Upton, N.Y. (USA). Production of negative pions and their interactions in tissue 1973.
71. A squaresolution. Using antimatter to detect nuclear radiation: A Groundbreaking Approach - A Square Solutions: Digital Marketing and AI. Available from:

https://blog.asquaresolution.com/2024/10/04/using-antimatter-to-detect-nuclear-radiation-a-groundbreaking-approach/
72. Directed-energy weapon. Wikipedia. 2020. Available from: *https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Directed-energy_weapon*
73. Antimatter-catalyzed nuclear pulse propulsion. Wikipedia. 2021. Available from: *https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Antimatter-catalyzed_nuclear_pulse_propulsion*
74. Gsponer A, Hurni JP. Antimatter weapons (1946-1986): From Fermi and Teller's speculations to the first open scientific publications. arXiv. 2005. Available from: *https://arxiv.org/abs/physics/0507132*
75. Yago Malo J, Lepori L, Gentini L, Chiofalo ML. Atomic quantum technologies for quantum matter and fundamental physics applications. Technol 2024 May 7; 12(5):64. *https://doi.org/10.3390/technologies12050064*
76. Toninaa T, Alshehhib H, Dowlingc J, *et al*. Advanced propulsion as a cornerstone for space exploration and interstellar living. Mercury 2021;77(222):5.
77. Holzscheiter MH. Antiprotons could help fight against cancer. CERN Courier 2006 Dec. Available from: *https://cerncourier.com/a/antiprotons-could-help-fight-against-cancer/*
78. Vallabhajosula S. Molecular Imaging and Targeted Therapy. Springer International Publishing; 2023.
79. Alberti C. Organ-confined prostate carcinoma radiation brachytherapy compared with external either photon-or hadron-beam radiation therapy. Just a short up-to-date. European Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2011 Jul 1;15(7):769-774.
80. Ragheb M. Gamma rays interaction with matter. Nuclear, plasma and radiation science. Inventing the Future, 2011:17-22.
81. Sahoo N. Introduction to radiation therapy physics. Principles and Practice of Radiation Therapy-E-Book. 2015 Mar 10:270.
82. Bole NB. Antimatter Rockets. Int J Sci Engin Res 2014 Jan;5(1):691-6.
83. Gao C, Wang Y, Ye Z, Lin Z, Ma X, He Q. Biomedical micro-/nanomotors. Overcoming biological barriers to in vivo imaging. Adv Mat 2021 Feb;33(6):2000512. *https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202000512*
84. Pavlovic M, Mayfield J, Balint B. Nanotechnology and its application in medicine. Handbook of Medical and Healthcare Technologies. 2013:181-205. *https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-8495-0_7*
85. Kunstler B, Michael G, Kuo G, *et al*. World Fut Rev: A J Strategic Fores 2011;3(3).
86. Suhail M, Khan A, Rahim MA, *et al*. Micro and nanorobot-based drug delivery: an overview. J Drug Targ 2022 Apr 21;30(4):349-58. *https://doi.org/10.1080/1061186X.2021.1999962*
87. Mariappan N. Recent trends in nanotechnology applications in surgical specialties and orthopedic surgery. Biomed Pharmacol J 2019 Sep 25;12(3):1095-127. *https://doi.org/10.13005/bpj/1739*
88. Ramsden J. Nanotechnology: An Introduction. 2nd ed. Norwich (NY): William Andrew; 2016 May 11.
89. Carter M, Shieh JC. Guide to research techniques in neuroscience. 2nd ed. San Diego (CA): Academic Press; 2015 Feb 27.
90. Apostolova I, Wedel F, Brenner W. Imaging of tumor metabolism using positron emission tomography (PET). Metabolism in Cancer 2016:177-205. *https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42118-6_8*
91. Ankeli GO. Theoretical reviews in advances in medical diagnosis and therapy: The role of physics techniques. Asian J Res Rev Physics 2020 Jul 16;3(2):30-5. *https://doi.org/10.9734/ajr2p/2020/v3i230118*
92. Annavarapu RN, Kathi S, Vadla VK. Non-invasive imaging modalities to study neurodegenerative diseases of aging brain. J Chem Neur 2019 Jan 1;95:54-69. *https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchemneu.2018.02.006*
93. Venneti S, Lopresti BJ, Wiley CA. Molecular imaging of microglia/macrophages in the brain. Glia 2013 Jan; 61(1):10-23. *https://doi.org/10.1002/glia.22357*
94. Mitra A, Nan A, Line BR, Ghandehari H. Nanocarriers for nuclear imaging and radiotherapy of cancer. Curr Pharm Design 2006 Dec 1;12(36):4729-49. *https://doi.org/10.2174/138161206779026317*
95. Ralph SJ, Low P, Dong L, Lawen A, Neuzil J. Mitocans: mitochondrial targeted anti-cancer drugs as improved therapies and related patent documents. Recent Patents Anti-cancer Drug Discovery 2006 Nov 1;1(3):327-46. *https://doi.org/10.2174/157489206778776952*
96. Monajjemi M, Kandemirli F, Sakhaeinia H, Mollaamin F. Biophysical interface of anti-matter for virtual living in real world: A reality in chemical converted in parallel worlds. Biointerface Res Appl Chem 2022; 12:2646-59. *https://doi.org/10.33263/BRIAC122.26462659*
97. Rulinskaitė R, Palepšienė R, Šatkauskas S, Raišutis R, Maciulevičius M. Minimizing chemotherapy side effects: Calcium sonoporation. In: Open Readings 2024: The 67th International Conference for Students of Physics and Natural Sciences: Book of Abstracts. Vilnius (Lithuania): Vilnius University Press; 2024.
98. Pellico J, Gawne PJ, de Rosales RT. Radio labelling of nanomaterials for medical imaging and therapy. Chem Soc Rev 2021;50(5):3355-423. *https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CS00384K*
99. Sarkar P, Khatana S, Mukherjee B, Shukla J, Das B, Dutta G. Application of radiopharmaceuticals in diagnostics and therapy. In Next-generation nanobiosensor devices for point-of-care diagnostics 2022 Dec 3; 227-249. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore. *https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-7130-3_10*
100. Hofierka J. Many-body theory of positron interactions with molecules [doctoral thesis]. Belfast (UK): Queen's University Belfast; 2024 Jul.
101. Kitson SL, Cuccurullo V, Ciarmiello A, Salvo D, Mansi L. Clinical applications of positron emission tomography (PET) imaging in medicine: oncology, brain diseases and cardiology. Curr Radiopharm 2009 Oct 1;2(4):224-53.
102. Varghese TP, John A, Mathew J. Revolutionizing cancer treatment: the role of radiopharmaceuticals in modern cancer therapy. Precision Radiation Oncol 2024 Sep;8(3):145-52. *https://doi.org/10.1002/pro6.1239*
103. Bushong SC, Clarke G. Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Physical and Biological Principles. 4th ed. St. Louis (MO): Elsevier Health Sciences; 2003 Mar 28.
104. Jahnke S, Menzel MI, Van Dusschoten D, *et al*. Combined MRI–PET dissects dynamic changes in plant structures and functions. The Plant J 2009 Aug; 59(4):634-44. *https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.03888.x*
105. Rohren EM, Turkington TG, Coleman RE. Clinical applications of PET in oncology. Radiol 2004 May; 231(2):305-32. *https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2312021185*
106. Gato-Rivera B. Medical and technological applications of antimatter. In: Antimatter. Springer; 2021; 253-263. *https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-67791-6_9*
107. Devlin J. Antimatter in the lab. Available from: *https://indico.cern.ch/event/1254879/contributions/5331031/attachments/2688975/4665918/Antimatter%20in%20the%20lab%20lecture*
108. Piel M, Vernaleken I, Rösch F. Positron emission tomography in CNS drug discovery and drug monitoring. J Med Chem 2014 Nov 26;57(22):9232-58. *https://doi.org/10.1021/jm5001858*
109. CMS Collaboration. Probing matter–antimatter asymmetry with AI. Available from: *https://cms.cern/news/probing-matter-antimatter-asymmetry-artificial-intelligence[1](https://home.cern/news/news/physics/probing-matter-antimatter-asymmetry-ai)*
110. Pandey A, Gupta SP. Personalized Medicine: A comprehensive review. Oriental J Chem 2024 Aug 1;40(4). *https://doi.org/10.13005/ojc/400403*

111. Wendt A, Callis R, Efthimion P, *et al*. Applications of fusion energy sciences research-scientific discoveries and new technologies beyond fusion. USDOE Office of Science (SC)(United States). Fusion Energy Sciences Advisory Committee; 2015 Sep 1. *https://doi.org/10.2172/1272148*
112. Greenwood H, Edwards R, Witney T. A beginner's guide to imaging in vivo tumour biochemistry. The Biochem 2019 Aug 1;41(4):42-7. *https://doi.org/10.1042/BIO04104042*
113. Toso V. Study of the interferometric and gravitational behavior of antimatter [doctoral thesis]. Milan (Italy): Politecnico di Milano; 2022.
114. Tarpley C, LEWIS M, Kothari A. Safety issues in SSTO spacecraft powered by antimatter rocket engines. In 26th Joint Propulsion Conference 1990 Jul; 2365. *https://doi.org/10.2514/6.1990-2365*
115. Morgan Jr DL. Physics of antimatter-matter reactions for interstellar propulsion. Livermore (CA): Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory; 1986 Aug 22.
116. Vogel M. Particle Confinement in Penning Traps. Springer series on atomic, optical, and plasma physics. Cham (Switzerland): Springer; 2018.100. *https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-55420-9*
117. Ulmer S, Walz J, Blaum K, *et al*. Future program of the BASE experiment at the antiproton decelerator of CERN. 2019 Nov 26.
118. Butler E. Antihydrogen formation, dynamics and trapping [doctoral dissertation]. Geneva (Switzerland): CERN; 2011.
119. Yamazaki Y. Antimatter matters: Progress in cold antihydrogen Research. Journal of Physics: Conference Series 2012 Nov 5; 388(1): 012002). *https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/388/1/012002*
120. Bickford JA. Extraction of antiparticles concentrated in planetary magnetic fields. NIAC Phase I Final Report, The Charles Stark Draper Laboratory, Inc 2006 Apr.
121. Vasilyev M, Stanyukovich K. Matter and Man. Honolulu (HI): The Minerva Group, Inc.; 2000.
122. AMS C. The Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer (AMS) on the International Space Station. Physics Reports. 2002; 366(6):331-404.
123. Rienäcker B. Investigation of positron/positronium converter targets at AEgIS (CERN) [doctoral dissertation]. Munich (Germany): Munich University of Applied Sciences; 2015.
124. Nohlmans D. A permanent magnet trap for buffer gas cooled atoms [doctoral dissertation]. London (UK): Imperial College London; 2015.
125. Fajans J, Surko CM. Plasma and trap-based techniques for science with antimatter. Physics of plasmas 2020 Mar 1;27(3). *https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5131273*
126. Guatieri F. Production and excitation of cold Ps for anti-H formation by charge exchange: Towards a gravitational measurement on antimatter [Doctoral dissertation]. University of Trento; 2018.
127. Charlton M, Van Der Werf DP. Advances in antihydrogen physics. Science Progress 2015 Mar;98(1):34-62. *https://doi.org/10.3184/003685015X14234978376369*
128. Yamazaki Y. Cold and stable antimatter for fundamental physics. Proceedings of the Japan Academy, Series B. 2020 Dec 23;96(10):471-501. *https://doi.org/10.2183/pjab.96.034*
129. Flambaum VV, Samsonov IB. Radiation from matter-antimatter annihilation in the quark nugget model of dark matter. Phys Rev D. 2021 Sep 15;104(6):063042. *https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.063042*
130. Jacob MRM. Antimatter. CERN-OPEN-96-005. 1996.
131. Bertsche WA. Prospects for comparison of matter and antimatter gravitation with ALPHA-g. Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 2018 Mar 28;376(2116):20170265. *https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2017.0265*
132. ALPHA Collaboration. Confinement of antihydrogen for 1,000 seconds. Nature Phys 2011; 7:558-64. *https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2025*
133. Ferreira LD. The four aspects of matter and radiation. Phys Sci Int J 2016; 10:1-32. *https://doi.org/10.9734/PSIJ/2016/25894*
134. Antimatter weapon. Wikipedia. Available from: *https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Antimatter_weapon*
135. Storing antihydrogen. CERN. Available from: *https://home.cern/science/physics/antimatter/storing-antihydrogen*
136. Smith C. Antimatter: How the world’s most expensive — and explosive — substance is made. ABC News. 2023 Feb 18. Available from: *https://www.abc.net.au/news/science/2023-02-19/antimatter-factory-physics-most-expensive-explosive-substance/101948092*
137. What are the dangers of harnessing the power of antimatter. Physics Gurus Available from: *https://www.physicsgurus.com/39215/what-are-the-dangers-of-harnessing-the-power-of-antimatter*
138. Berman B. Understanding Antimatter. Discover Magazine. 2005 Oct 24. Available from: *https://www.discovermagazine.com/the-sciences/understanding-antimatter*