



RESEARCH ARTICLE

THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN LEVELS OF HEPcidIN, IRON STATUS AND MICRO-INFLAMMATION MARKERS AMONG HAEMODIALYSIS PATIENTS

Ebtesam Ahmad Mufadhhal¹ , Fairouz Kaid Al-Showafi¹, Hassan A. Al-Shamahy² ,
 Ebtesam Mhdi Al-zabidi¹

¹Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Sana'a University, Republic of Yemen.

²Medical Microbiology and Clinical Immunology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Sana'a University, Republic of Yemen.

Article Info:

Abstract



Article History:

Received: 6 August 2019
 Reviewed: 9 September 2019
 Accepted: 30 October 2019
 Published: 15 November 2019

Cite this article:

Mufadhhal EA, Al-Showafi FK, Al-Shamahy HA, Al-zabidi EM. The association between levels of hepcidin, iron status and micro-inflammation markers among haemodialysis patients. Universal Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 2019; 4(5): 22-27.

<https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v4i5.313>

*Address for Correspondence:

Dr. Hassan A. Al-Shamahy, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Sana'a University, Yemen. Tel: +967-1-239551, E-mail: shmahe@yemen.net.ye

Objective: Hepcidin is a polypeptide that regulates iron homeostasis and could serve as an indicator of functional iron deficiency in patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD); this may also aid in the assessment of patient's response to erythropoietin (EPO). Erythropoietin is a cytokine glycoprotein secreted by the kidney in response to cellular hypoxia; it stimulates the production of red blood cells (erythrocytes) in the bone marrow. The present study was aimed to investigate serum levels of hepcidin, iron status and inflammation markers such as C-reactive protein (CRP) in patients with ESRD on maintenance HD and to observe the correlation of serum hepcidin with conventional iron and inflammatory markers.

Methods: A total of 59 patients on maintenance HD were enrolled; 29 age and sex-matched healthy subjects were included as controls. Laboratory tests including complete blood count, creatinine, urea, albumin, BUN, serum hepcidin, serum ferritin, serum iron and CRP were performed. The serum hepcidin level was measured by a competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (C-ELISA).

Results: Serum hepcidin levels were significantly higher in patients with ESRD than in the control group (63.7±47.4 ng/mL: 11.5±26.3 ng/mL respectively $p < 0.001$). The hemoglobin and serum iron levels in the patient group were significantly lower than in the control group. Higher ferritin levels were found in haemodialysis patients (448.5±710 ng/mL): (98.3±83 ng/mL) of controls ($p = 0.01$). A positive and significant correlation was observed between the values of serum hepcidin and CRP. Serum hepcidin and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels were significantly higher in maintenance haemodialysis patients (case= 21.2±28.6 mg/l: control= 2.9±2.7 mg/l, $p = 0.001$).

Conclusion: In conclusion, higher hepcidin levels are found in ESRD patients and serum hepcidin levels are associated with iron status and micro-inflammation (defined as hsCR, $p < 6$ mg/l, in maintenance haemodialysis patients). Also, current findings suggest that hepcidin might play a role in the pathophysiology of anemia associated with chronic diseases as ESRD. As well as, ELISA method for measuring serum hepcidin should facilitate the routine measurement of hepcidin in clinical practice.

Keywords: ESRD, ferritin, hepcidin, haemodialysis, renal failure, Yemen.

INTRODUCTION

Anemia is commonly seen in all stages of renal disease but is much more obvious in patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD)¹. Patients with chronic kidney disease anemia are at high risk of hospitalization, increased length of hospital stay, lower quality of life and higher mortality². The main causes of anemia in patients with CKD are chronic inflammation, decreased erythropoietin (EPO) production, iron deficiency, and shortened half life of erythrocytes³. Hepcidin is a

peptide secreted by the liver that regulates plasma iron⁴. Hepcidin production decreases in the presence of hypoxia, iron deficiency and ineffective erythropoiesis⁵, while increased production is stimulated by increased plasma and stored iron⁶. Increased levels of hepcidin results in iron release in macrophages and decreased absorption of iron⁷. Hepcidin production is also increased by inflammation and high hepcidin concentrations limit iron availability for erythropoiesis, thus playing a major role in the anemia of inflammation and EPO resistance⁸. Haemodialysis

(HD) is considered an inflammatory condition and increased serum hepcidin levels have been found in patients with ESRD on maintenance HD⁹. These raised levels in hemodialyzed patients could be due to functional iron deficiency anemia and low-grade inflammation¹⁰. Reticulo-endothelial blockade is seen during inflammation, which is mediated by hepcidin up-regulation, and results in inhibition of release of iron to transferrin^{8,11}. Hepcidin also be part of the cause of EPO resistance by regulating iron-restricted erythropoiesis and by its inhibitory effect on erythroid progenitor proliferation and continued existence^{8,11}. Uremia is a state of increased inflammatory activation. This may have an effect on many parameters including those used in anemia management. Ferritin, for example, is an indicator of iron stores in the body, but it also increases acute infections and thus becomes less valuable as an indicator of the iron state during inflammation¹². Serum iron and transferrin saturation are also inclined by inflammation. Inflammation also increases the C-reactive protein (CRP) and hepcidin levels⁹ but in state of this complexity the existing data indicate that hepcidin has an advantage over ferritin in guiding treatment of anemia in patients with CKD as it directly reflects iron availability and the status of iron homeostasis, better than other conventional parameters¹³. The current study was designed to determine the values of these conventional markers of body iron stores, degree of inflammatory activation and serum hepcidin in patients with ESRD on maintenance HD and to compare them with normal controls.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Study Design and site: A case control comparative study was conducted to achieve the objectives of the study. The study included 88 individuals aged 20-45 years old and they divided in to two groups:

Group 1: Consist of 59 individuals who are end stage renal failure haemodialysis patients. The study included only patients who had undergone dialysis maintenance for more than a year at the Center for Nephrology and Urology at Al-Thawra University Hospital-Sana'a City Yemen. Also pregnant women, patients with liver disease, and/or patients with cardiovascular disease or any other chronic disease were excluded.

Group 2: Consist of 29 healthy individuals attending the same Hospital for routine examination (volunteers), who don't suffer from any other chronic diseases. All Patients and controls agree to fill the written informed consent of the study.

Data collecting: Data regarding demographic, clinical characteristics, body mass index (BMI), and duration of dialysis were recorded in predesigned forms for all patients, also demographic, clinical characteristics, and body mass index (BMI) were recorded in predesigned forms for all controls.

Collection and storage of blood samples: Peripheral blood samples were collected in a vacuoliner tubes after an overnight fast, before a single session of haemodialysis for each patient. For controls also peripheral blood samples were collected in a vacuoliner

tubes after an overnight fast for each controls. The whole blood samples were obtained using EDTA-tubes for measuring hematological markers for cases and controls. For serum Iron, serum ferritin, serum CRP and serum hepcidin 10 ml blood were collected in 10 ml capacity tubes containing Clot activator, then serum were separated by centrifuging, and stored in aliquots for cases and controls. Blood samples which occurred hemolysis would be discarded. Each serum sample was given a tracking number and stored at -20°C until further analysis.

Laboratory Tests: Hepcidin was tested in serum samples using manual enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit. Ferritin was tested in serum samples using automated enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) system. The tests were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions described in the assay procedure. Blood Iron, CRP, albumin, creatinine were tested in the samples using automated system (integra 400 close system). Hb and white blood cell count (WBC) were determined by automated procedures.

Data analysis: The analysis of data was done by Epi Info version 6 statistical program (CDC, Atlanta, USA), where the chi-square (χ^2) and probability value (p) was calculated for the test of significance by comparing the geometric mean \pm SD of the serum level of hepcidin, ferritin, iron and etc among cases (HD patients) comparing with that of healthy controls. In addition, Odd's ratio (*OR*), 95% confidence interval (*95% CI*) were added to estimate the associated *OR* for high level of hepcidin, ferritin and iron etc. with HD patients comparing with that of healthy controls. A p value < 0.05 was considered significant.

Ethical approval

Written consent from was obtained for all cases and controls. Assent was taken from participants before collecting the specimens. The study proposal was evaluated and approved by the Ethics Committee of Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Sana'a University.

RESULTS

HD patients were counting 59 patients, 37 of them were males and 22 were females. The unmatched healthy controls of study were counting 29 healthy unmatched in age and sex with the patient group. The control included 17 males and 12 females.

Table 1: Demographic data of subjects included in the study.

Parameters	Subjects	
	Patients	Controls
Number of subjects	59	29
Males	37	17
Females	22	12
Age (years)		
Mean \pm SD;	28.3 \pm 6.4	25 \pm 3.5
Range of age (yrs)	20-45	20-40
BMI (Kg/m ²)	18.2 \pm 3.8	24.1 \pm 2.83

The mean±SD of the patient's ages was 28.3±6.4 years and their ages ranged from 20-45 years, while the mean±SD of the control's ages was 25±3.5 years and their ages ranged from 20-40 years. Also the BMI (Kg/m²) mean±SD of patients was 18.2±3.8 kg/m² lower than 24.1±2.83 kg/m² of the healthy controls (Table 1). Table 2 shows the serum hepcidin and conventional markers of Iron status and markers of inflammation (CRP, WBCs) in haemodialysis patients and controls.

Table 2: Serum hepcidin and conventional markers of Iron status and markers of inflammation (CRP, WBCs) in hemodialysis patients and controls.

Biochemical Parameters	Patients (mean±SD)	Controls (mean±SD)	p value
Hb (g/dl)	7.97±1.4	15.55±1.3	<0.001
Serum Iron (mg/dl)	142.88±1	159.6±64	0.35
Serum Ferritin (ng/ml)	448.5±710	98.3±83	<0.001
CRP (mg/l)	21.3±28.6	2.9±2.7	<0.001
WBCs x10 ³	5.6±1.7	5.0±1.16	0.10
Hepcidin (ng/ml)	63.77±47.4	11.5±26	<0.001

Hb=Hemoglobin, CRP=C-reactive protein, SD=Standard division

The serum hepcidin levels were significantly ($p<0.001$) higher in patients with ESRD on HD (mean±SD=63.77±47.4 ng/ml) compared to lower level in controls (mean±SD= 11.5±26 ng/ml). The Hb levels were significantly ($p<0.001$) lower in patients (mean±SD=7.97±1.4 g/dL) compared with healthy controls level (mean±SD= 15.55±1.3 g/dL). The serum Ferritin (ng/ml) levels were significantly ($p<0.001$) higher in patients (mean±SD=448.5±710 ng/ml) as compared with healthy controls level (mean±SD was 98.3±83 ng/ml).

Table 3: Serum albumin and conventional markers of kidney function in hemodialysis patients and controls.

Biochemical Parameters	Patients (mean±SD)	Controls (mean±SD)	p
Creatinine (mg/dl)	10.08±9.3	0.935±0.115	<0.001
Urea (mg/dl)	152.5±50.2	21.58±7.0	<0.001
BUN (ng/ml)	72.15±22.7	10.3±2.8	<0.001
Albumin (g/l)	34.7±4.5	43.15±2.47	<0.001

BUN =Blood urea nitrogen, SD=Standard division

The serum CRP levels were significantly ($p<0.001$) higher in patients (mean±SD=21.3±28.6 mg/l) as compared with healthy controls (mean±SD= 2.9±2.7 mg/l). However no significant variations were observed in Serum Iron level and WBCs for both patients and controls. Table 3 shows the serum albumin and conventional markers of kidney function in haemodialysis patients and controls. All these markers were significantly ($p<0.001$) abnormal in patients and normal in healthy controls (Table 3). Table 4 shows the association of high level of hepcidin with conventional markers of iron status and marker of inflammation (CRP) among haemodialysis patients. In HD patients

the rate of high level of hepcidin was 62.7%. There was no association between high level of hepcidin and high level of ferritin, but there was association with low level of Iron ($OR=4.9$) with non-significant result ($p=0.11$). Also there is association between high level of hepcidin and positive CRP ($OR=1.2$) and this result was not statistical significant ($p=0.76$). Table 5 shows the associated odds ratio of low level of serum iron, high level of serum hepcidin, high level of serum ferritin, and positive CRP, in haemodialysis patients comparing with healthy controls.

Table 4: The association of high level of hepcidin with conventional markers of iron status and marker of inflammation (CRP) among hemodialysis patients.

Biochemical parameters	High level of hepcidin n=37		OR	CI	χ^2	p
	No	%				
Serum Ferritin (high level) n=22	12	54.5	0.5	0.19-1.7	1.1	0.31
Iron µg/dl (Low level) n=8	7	87.5	4.9	0.5-42	2.5	0.11
Hb (g/dl) anemic n=58	36	62%	undefined		0.6	0.4
Serum CPR (Positive) n=31	20	64.5	1.2	0.4-3.3	0.09	0.76

Serum Ferritin (high level); for male >275 ng/ml; for female >204 ng/ml. Serum Iron (low level); for male <59 mg/dl; for female < 37 mg/dl. Serum CPR Positive (>6 mg/dl)

OR- Odds ratio=Relative risk, CI-Confidence intervals, χ^2 - Chi-square= 3.9 or more significant, p-Probability value=0.05 or less significant

The rate of high level of ferritin was 37.3% in HD patients comparing with 13.7% in controls. There was association between high level of ferritin in HD patients with positive CRP ($OR=3.7$, $CI=1.1-12$, $p=0.02$). In addition there was association between low level of iron and HD patients ($OR=1.5$, $CI=1.3-1.8$, $p=0.037$). Also the risk difference to develop low level of Iron in HD comparing with controls is 36 times ($CI=25-46$). The rate of positive CRP was 52.5% in HD patients comparing with 3.4% in controls. In addition there was association between positive CRP and HD patients ($OR= 31$, $CI=3.9 -243$, $p<0.001$). Also the risk difference to develop positive CRP in HD comparing with controls is 46 times and this difference ranged from not less than 32 and up to 61 times. The rate of high level of hepcidin was 62.7% in HD patients comparing with 6.9% in controls. In addition there was association between high level of hepcidin and HD patients ($OR=22.7$, $CI=4.9-104$, $p<0.001$). Also the risk difference to develop high level of hepcidin in HD comparing with controls is 49 times and this difference ranged from not less than 34 and up to 65 times. Table 6 shows the comparison of high (> 6 mg/l) and low (<6 mg/l) CRP levels in relation to age, gender and haemodialysis duration for patients. The mean±SD age of CRP positive patients was 33.4±5.8 years older than the mean±SD age of CRP negative patients (26.5±7.1 years). Therefore there is association between age

growing and occurring of positive CRP and this result was significant ($p < 0.001$). Also, when we considered sex of the patients, there was significant association between male patients and positive CRP ($p < 0.05$), that is mean male patients have more possibility to develop CRP positive than female patients. What is more, when we considered duration of haemodialysis and positivity of CRP, there was association between longer duration

of haemodialysis and positive CRP. The mean \pm SD duration of CRP positive patients was 4.9 \pm 0.6 years longer than the mean \pm SD duration of CRP negative patients (3.8 \pm 0.4 years), but this variation was not statistically significant ($p = 0.08$). Therefore there is association between long duration and occurring of positive CRP but not significant due to small sample size of the current study.

Table 5: The associated odds ratio of low level of serum iron, high level of serum hepcidin, high level of serum ferritin, and positive CRP, in hemodialysis patients comparing with healthy controls.

Biochemical parameters	Patients n=59		Controls n=29		OR	CI	χ^2	p	Risk difference
	No	%	No	%					
Serum Ferritin (high level)	22	37.3	4	13.7	3.7	1.1-12	5.2	0.02	36(25-46)
Iron μ g/dl (Low level)	8	13.6	0	0	Undefined		4.3	0.037	36(25-46)
Serum CPR (Positive)	31	52.5	1	3.4	31	3.9-243	20.2	<0.001	46(32-61)
High level of hepcidin	37	62.7	2	6.9	22.7	4.9-104	24.5	<0.001	49(34-65)

OR- Odds ratio=Relative risk, CI- Confidence intervals, χ^2 - Chi-square=3.9 or more significant, p- Probability value =0.05 or less significant

DISCUSSION

Hepcidin is now acknowledged to be the main iron regulatory hormone. It is a 25-amino acid peptide exclusively synthesized by the liver, initially identified as part of a search for novel antimicrobial peptides^{14,15}. There was no indication that it had an additional role in iron metabolism until 2001, when mouse studies were published showing that hepatic hepcidin mRNA synthesis was induced by iron loading^{16,17}. Also, hepcidin levels are regulated by iron status and erythropoietic activity¹⁸. It is now well documented that hepcidin levels are reduced by anemia and hypoxia and increased by inflammation¹⁹. Renal anemia is considered a special form of anemia of inflammation²⁰. The present study focused on the levels of serum hepcidin in patients with ESRD on maintenance HD for at least one year and their levels were then compared with controls.

Table 6: Comparison of high (> 6 mg/l) and low (<6 mg/l) CRP levels in relation to age, gender and hemodialysis duration for patients.

Parameters	High CRP (>6 mg/l)	Low CRP (<6 mg/l)	p
Age	33.4 \pm 5.8 years	26.5 \pm 7.1 yrs	<0.001
Gender			
Male	23	14	<0.05
Female	8	14	0.15
Hemodialysis duration	4.9 \pm 0.6 years	3.8 \pm 0.4 yrs	0.08

In the present study, the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) method was used for the detection of serum hepcidin levels and found that the levels were significantly ($p < 0.001$) higher in patients with ESRD on HD in which the hepcidin Mean \pm SD level was 63.77 \pm 47.4 ng/ml as compared with healthy controls (mean \pm SD=11.5 \pm 26 ng/ml) (Table 2). Similar results were also reported by Zille et al.,²¹ in which the hepcidin mean \pm SD level was 18.2 \pm 2.8 ng/ml as compared with healthy controls in which the hepcidin

mean \pm SD level was 8.1 \pm 2.3 ng/ml²¹. In present study results hepcidin levels were six-fold higher in patients of hemodialysis than the healthy controls (Table 2). Also when the high level rate of hepcidin with hemodialysis considered there was significant odds ratio of high level of hepcidin with hemodialysis equal to 22.7 times comparing with that of controls (Table 5). The current study result is higher than that reported by Jairam et al.,¹² and Swinkels and Wetzels¹³ in which hepcidin levels were only two- to three-fold higher in patients with hemodialysis comparing with controls. The high levels of hepcidin in hemodialysis patients can be explained by the fact that there are limited excretion of hepcidin by kidney or by dialysis in patients lead to elevation of hepcidin which cause tissue iron overload and inflammation²².

Among present study group of hemodialysis patients, a significant decreased levels of Hb was found in which Hb mean \pm SD level was 7.97 \pm 1.4 g/dl as compared with healthy controls (mean \pm SD level=15.55 \pm 1.3 g/dL, $p < 0.001$) (Table 2). However, in the current study there was non-significant decreased levels of the serum iron in among patients (mean \pm SD=142.88 \pm 65 ng/ml) as compared with healthy controls (mean \pm SD=159.6 \pm 64 ng/ml, $p = 0.35$) (Table 2). The present study findings are similar to that reported by Yilmaz et al.,²³ in which they reported renal anemia in most haemodialysis patients but with significant decreased levels of the serum iron in the patients comparing with controls. In the present study serum ferritin levels found to be significantly ($p < 0.001$) higher in patients with ESRD on HD (448.5 \pm 710 ng/ml) as compared with healthy controls (98.3 \pm 8.3 ng/ml) (Table 2). These findings consistent to Yilmaz et al.,²³ in which elevated ferritin was seen in patients with CKD. High ferritin levels may be observed in this disease because of functional iron deficiency or reticulo-endothelial blockade. This commonly seen paradox of high serum ferritin has made it desirable to look for a substitute iron marker to predict better iron status of the patient²⁴. Various other studies also support that current marker of iron metabolism; like ferritin do not predict iron

status effectively²⁴ and that this conventional marker has certain limitations⁶. The diagnosis of iron deficiency using this marker is unproductive, as it can be affected by variables such as age, sex, inflammation and nutritional factors. In another study, it was concluded that determining hepcidin concentrations together with conventional markers associated with iron metabolism improved the identification of patients with iron deficiency by 26.1%²⁵. In this study, CRP was measured as the conventional marker of inflammation and was found to be higher in patients than in controls, significantly ($p < 0.001$) (21.3 ± 28.6 mg/dl: 2.9 ± 2.7 mg/dl) (Table 2); and also positive CRP were found to be significantly correlated with high serum hepcidin ($OR = 31$, $p < 0.001$) (Table 5). It is known that hepcidin synthesis is induced by inflammation, a process that is mediated by IL-6. As CKD is considered an inflammatory state, this positive correlation was expected²⁶.

The present study results are comparable to other studies on patients with renal failure, which showed a correlation of high hepcidin levels with positive CRP²⁷. However, these results are different from the finding of Memoli²⁶ and Tovbin²⁸ in which no correlation was observed between hepcidin and CRP levels in CKD patients. This lack of correlation may be explained on the basis of differences in the half-lives of CRP and hepcidin²⁸. When high level (>6 mg/l) comparison with low level (<6 mg/l) of CRP in relation to haemodialysis in the current study, there was 52.5% of the patients had high (>6 mg/l) CRP level (Table 6). This result is higher than that reported by Korevaar *et al.*,²⁹ in which this prospective multicenter study on the impact of an HD session on CRP level showed an increase in CRP level in 25% of the patients during a dialysis session. Moreover, independent of the pre-dialysis CRP level, the change in CRP level during an HD session was associated with an increased mortality risk; an increase of 1 mg/l in CRP level was associated with a 9% raised mortality risk. The present study finding suggests that a 52.5% of our patient with an increase of CRP level will have a raised mortality risk than the low CRP level patients. CRP is a marker of inflammation, which involves a number of complex processes that can be induced by any trauma or infection. As soon as the inflammatory stimulus has been eliminated, the CRP level declines. The increase in CRP level observed in the present study could have been the result of such acute trauma or infection or a response to the dialysis. There was significant association between high CRP and older HD patients (<0.001) (Table 6) in the present study, this is similar to that reported by Rashid *et al.*,²⁷ in which the rate of positive CRP was higher in older ages of HD patients. Additionally, it has been reported that the occurrence of inflammation is higher in patients who were on dialysis for a longer time³⁰ but there was no significant association between CRP and length of dialysis in the current study (Table 6).

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Higher hepcidin levels are found in ESRD patients and serum hepcidin levels are associated with iron status

and micro-inflammation (defined as hsCRP < 6 mg/l, in maintenance haemodialysis patients). Also, current findings suggest that hepcidin might play a role in the pathophysiology of anemia associated with chronic diseases as ESRD. As well as, ELISA method for measuring serum hepcidin should facilitate the routine measurement of hepcidin in clinical practice. Extensive information of hepcidin regulation will provide us with original tools for differential diagnosis, therapeutic regimes and monitoring of disorders of iron metabolism particularly in HD patients. However, a lot remains to be revealed on the biology and function of hepcidin. Its signalling pathways are as up till now to be defined. Additional studies are needed to define accurately the hepcidin role in iron metabolism homeostasis and its efficacy in the diagnosis and treatment of iron disorders. Also that more studies need to be performed regarding the role of ferritin in HD patients. Finally prospective studies are needed to confirm whether elevated serum hepcidin and ferritin predict HD anemia and its elevation is failure excretion by dialysis, or is simply a secondary marker of metabolic abnormalities.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors acknowledge the financial support of Sana'a University, Yemen.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION

Mufadhal EA: writing original draft, conceptualization. **Al-Showafi FK:** methodology, investigation. **Al-Shamahy HA:** writing, review, and editing, supervision, resources. **Al-zabidi EM:** writing, review, and editing. Final version of manuscript is approved by all authors.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data supporting the findings of this study are not currently available in a public repository but can be made available upon request to the corresponding author.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest associated with this work.

REFERENCES

1. Levin A, Thompson CR, Ethier J, *et al.* Left ventricular mass index increase in early renal disease: Impact of decline in hemoglobin. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1999; 34:125-34. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0272-6386\(99\)70118-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0272-6386(99)70118-6)
2. Hayat A, Haria D, Salifu MO. Erythropoietin stimulating agents in the management of anemia of chronic kidney disease. *Patient Prefer Adherence* 2008; 2:195-200. <https://doi.org/10.2147/ppa.s2356>
3. Nangaku M, Eckardt KU. Pathogenesis of renal anemia. *Semin Nephrol* 2006; 26:261-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semnephrol.2006.06.001>
4. Viatte L, Lesbordes-Brion JC, Lou DQ, *et al.* Deregulation of proteins involved in iron metabolism in hepcidin-deficient mice. *Blood* 2005; 105:4861-4. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2004-12-4608>

5. Deicher R, Horl WH. Heparin: A molecular link between inflammation and anaemia. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2004; 19:521-4. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfg560>
6. Pasricha SR, McQuilten Z, Westerman M, et al. Serum hepcidin as a diagnostic test of iron deficiency in premenopausal female blood donors. *Haematologica* 2011; 96:1099-105. <https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2010.037960>
7. Kanbay M, Perazella MA, Kasapoglu B, Koroglu M, Covic A. Erythropoiesis stimulatory agent-resistant anemia in dialysis patients: Review of causes and management. *Blood Purif* 2010; 29:1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000245041>
8. Zaritsky J, Young B, Wang HJ, et al. Heparin-a potential novel biomarker for iron status in chronic kidney disease. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2009; 4:1051-6. <https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.05931108>
9. Eleftheriadis T, Liakopoulos V, Antoniadis G, Kartsios C, Stefanidis I. The role of hepcidin in iron homeostasis and anemia in hemodialysis patients. *Semi Dial* 2009; 22:70-7. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-139X.2008.00532.x>
10. Malyszko J, Malyszko JS, Pawlak K, Mysliwiec M. Heparin, iron status, and renal function in chronic renal failure, kidney transplantation, and hemodialysis. *Am J Hematol* 2006; 81:832-7. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.20657>
11. Kato A, Tsuji T, Luo J, Sakao Y, Yasuda H, Hishida A. Association of prohepcidin and hepcidin-25 with erythropoietin response and ferritin in hemodialysis patients. *Am J Nephrol* 2008; 28:115-21. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu7010103>
12. Jairam A, Das R, Aggarwal PK, et al. Iron status, inflammation and hepcidin in ESRD patients: The confounding role of intravenous iron therapy. *Indian J Nephrol* 2010; 20:125-31. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0971-4065.70840>
13. Swinkels DW, Wetzels JF. Heparin: a new tool in the management of anaemia in patients with chronic kidney disease? *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2008; 23:2450-3. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfn267>
14. Wang H, Li H, Jiang X, Shi W, Shen Z, Li M. Heparin is directly regulated by insulin and plays an important role in iron overload in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *Diabetes* 2014; 63(5):1506-1518. <https://doi.org/10.2337/db13-1195-DC1>
15. Darshan D, Anderson GJ. Interacting signals in the control of hepcidin expression. *Biomaterials* 2009; 22: 77-87. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2141.2003.04734.x>
16. Nicolas G, Viatte L, Lou DQ, Bennoun M, Beaumont C, Kahn A, Andrews NC, and Vaulont S. Constitutive hepcidin expression prevents iron overload in a mouse model of hemochromatosis. *Nat Genet* 2003; 34:97-101. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1150>
17. Fleming MD. The regulation of hepcidin and its effects on systemic and cellular iron metabolism. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program* 2008; 151-158. <https://doi.org/10.1182/asheducation-2008.1.151>
18. Sanad M, Gharib AF. Urinary hepcidin level as an early predictor of iron deficiency in children: A case control study. *Ital J Pediatr* 2011; 137:37-38. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1824-7288-37-37>
19. Tomosugi N, Kawabata H, Wakatabe R, et al. Detection of serum hepcidin in renal failure and inflammation by using Protein Chip System. *Blood* 2006; 108:1381-7. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2005-10-4043>
20. Zarychanski R, Houston DS. Anemia of chronic disease: A harmful disorder or an adaptive, beneficial response? *Canadian Med Assoc J* 2008; 179:333-7. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.071131>
21. Zille Rubab, Huma Amin, Khizer Abbas, et al. Serum Heparin Levels in Patients with End-Stage Renal Disease on Hemodialysis. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl* 2015; 26(1):19-25. <https://doi.org/10.4103/1319-2442.148716>
22. Xu Y, Ding XQ, Zou JZ, et al. Serum hepcidin in hemodialysis patients: Associations with iron status and microinflammation. *J Int Med Res* 2011; 39:1961-7. <https://doi.org/10.1177/147323001103900542>
23. Yilmaz MI, Solak Y, Covic A, Goldsmith D, Kanbay M. Renal anemia of inflammation: The name is self-explanatory. *Blood Purif* 2011; 32:220-5. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000328037>
24. Singh B, Arora S, Agrawal P, Gupta SK. Heparin: A novel peptide hormone regulating iron metabolism. *Clin Chim Acta* 2011; 412: 823-30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2011.02.014>
25. Sancho A, Pastor MC, Troya M, et al. Heparin and iron deficiency in pre-kidney transplant patients. *Transplant Proc* 2009; 41:2079-81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.transproceed.2009.06.089>
26. Memoli B: Cytokine production in haemodialysis. *Blood Purif* 1999; 17: 149 –158.
27. Rashid H, ul-Haq R, Abad-ur-Rehman. Comparison of C-reactive protein levels with delivered dose of Kt/V in patients with end-stage renal disease on maintenance hemodialysis. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl* 2015; 26(4):692-696. <https://doi.org/10.4103/1319-2442.160130>
28. Tovbin D, Mazor D, Vorobiov M, et al. Induction of protein oxidation by intravenous iron in hemodialysis patients: Role of inflammation. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2002; 40: 1005-1012. <https://doi.org/10.1053/ajkd.2002.36334>
29. Korevaar JC, Manen JG, Dekker FW, et al. Effect of an increase in C-reactive protein level during a hemodialysis session on mortality. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2004; 15: 2916-2922. <https://doi.org/10.5812/numonthly.13351>
30. Pepys MB, Hirschfield GM: C-reactive protein: A critical update. *J Clin Invest* 2003; 111: 1805–1812. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI18921>