

Available online at www.ujpronline.com Universal Journal of Pharmaceutical Research An International Peer Reviewed Journal ISSN: 2831-5235 (Print); 2456-8058 (Electronic)

Copyright©2023; The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the CC BY-NC 4.0 which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited



RESEARCH ARTICLE

IMMUNOLOGICAL STATUS OF HEPATITIS B VIRUS INFECTION AMONG FRESHMEN UNIVERSITY STUDENTS IN YEMEN

Abdul Salam Mohamed Al Makdad¹, Abdulrahman Y. Al-Haifi², Najlaa Abdullah Mohammed Al-Mutaa⁰², Hassan Abdulwahab Al-Shamahy^{3,4}

¹Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Dhamar University, Dhamar, Yemen.

²Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Dhamar University, Dhamar, Yemen.

³Medical Microbiology and Clinical Immunology Department, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Sana'a University,

Republic of Yemen.

⁴Medical Microbiology department, Faculty of Medicine, Genius University for Sciences and Technology, Dhamar city.

Article Info:

Cite this article:

Microbiology

Article History:

Al Makdad ASM, Al-Mutaa NAM, Al-Haifi

AY, Al-Shamahy HA. Immunological status of

hepatitis B virus infection among Freshmen University students in Yemen. Universal Journal

Clinical

Department, Faculty of Medicine and Health

Sciences, Sana'a University, Republic of Yemen. Medical Microbiology department,

Faculty of Medicine. Genius University for

Sciences and Technology, Dhamar city, Yemen;

of Pharmaceutical Research 2023; 8(1):55-60. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v8i1.900

*Address for Correspondence:

Dr. Hassan A. Al-Shamahy,

and

Received: 3 December 2022

Accepted: 22 February 2023

Medical

Immunology

Reviewed: 7 January 2023

Published: 15 March 2023

Abstract

Background: Hepatitis B virus (HBV) is a most important health problem, it's a global pandemic. Previous surveys showed a high prevalence of hepatitis B infection in Yemen. Hepatitis B vaccination is the most efficient way to prevent hepatitis B virus infection and its outcomes (such as hepatocellular carcinoma, cirrhosis, and liver failure).

Aim: To measure the immune status of the hepatitis B virus amongst first-year students at the faculties of Thamar University during the academic year 2021-2022. Methods: A total 196 of first-year students participated in this cross-sectional study and tested for anti-HBsAg and the antibody to HBV core antigen (anti-HBc) and HBsAg by using ELISA during the period from January to May 2022 at Thamar University. An anti-HBs antibody titer ≥ 10 mIU/mL was regarded as being protective against HBV infection.

Results: In this study, 22.4% of the students had protective levels against hepatitis B, while 77.6% had a non-protective level. HBV markers showed that 19.90%, 2.55%, and 75.51% of the students had been vaccinated and immunized due to a previous infection and exposed to HBV infection respectively and only 2.04% of them were non-obvious cases. The prevalence of anti-HBc was 4.6%. Risk factors that showed statistical significance were found between positive anti-HBc and family history of HBV (p=0.01).

Conclusion: The low prevalence of hepatitis B protection levels among Thamar University medical students needs further research and necessitates the implementation of a screening and vaccination program for all non-immunized healthcare students.

Keywords: Antibodies, Hepatitis B virus, Immunization in Yemen, Low immunity.

INTRODUCTION

Tel: +967-1-239551.

E-mail: shmahe@yemen.net.ye

Of the global public health problems, hepatitis B infection is one of the most important. HBV belongs to the family Hepadnaviridae with partially double-stranded DNA¹⁻³. Approximately 2 billion people worldwide have evidence of past or current infection with hepatitis B virus, 358 million or more people suffer from chronic lifelong infection, and about 887,000 people die each year from hepatitis B outcomes¹⁻³. Hepatitis B virus is endemic in Yemen, the prevalence of HBsAg-positive virus in the general population and HCWs ranges from 8% to 20%, among infants, it was 4.1%, and up to 50% of health workers

and residents have previous serologic evidence of HBV infection and this is all in the old reports⁴⁻⁸. On the other hand, recent studies have revealed that the incidence of HBsAg, is 0.7-2% among the general population and 4% among high-risk groups such as HCWs and hemodialysis patients and HBV also decreased further among children⁹⁻¹⁵.

It is well known that HBV vaccine is the foundation of the hepatitis B infection prevention. To reach this, the vaccine must activate an immune response which would create protective hepatitis B surface antibody (anti-HBs) at a concentration of ≥ 10 mIU/mL at least 1 month and at most 2 months after the 3 dose⁶, this happens in more than 95% of infants, children and young adults. But the persistence of anti-HBs and thus protection against infection and the carrier state depends on the peak concentration of anti-HBs reached after the initial vaccination. One problem with this is that anti-HBs decay exponentially with the length of time since vaccination and so a booster shot is required^{6,17}. Factors connected with reduced immune response to HBV vaccine comprise increasing age, nutritional status, gender, obesity, genetic factors, and smoking. Poverty, socioeconomic status, low of education, and weak health systems in Yemen are interrelated factors that influence nutritional status of people, which in turn affect their immune system⁶.

A study carried out in Saudi Arabia for students of Taibah University elucidated that the hepatitis B markers showed that only 15.2% of students had protective levels against the disease, while the rest showed negative markers¹⁷. A similar study conducted in Iran for first-year medical students demonstrated that 36.2% showed a non-protective anti-HBs response (anti-HBs < 10 mIU/mL) and 164/257 individuals (63.8%) showed a protective anti-HBs response (anti-HBs $\geq 10 \text{ mIU/mL}$)¹⁸.

To our knowledge, there are no published studies on the immunological status of the hepatitis B virus among university students in Yemen. Therefore, the aim of the current study is to assess the immunological status of hepatitis B virus among first-year students in Thamar University, during the academic year 2021-2022.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Study area: This study was carried out at Thamar University located in Dhamar city; Dhamar governorate (15°40'N 43°56'E) is located at the central area of the western highlands region of Yemen1600– 3200 meters above sea level.

Study design and sample: A cross-sectional study was conducted from January to May 2022 (the time allowed for fieldwork for a master's thesis) at Thamar University, Dhamar Governorate, Yemen. The study targeted the first-year students at all faculties of Thamar University. The total number of students enrolled at the time of the study at Thamar University in their first-year was 1724 students and the study was conducted on 196 respondent students for the assessment of their immune status by conducting Anti-HBs, Anti-HBc and HBsAg tests.

Inclusion Criteria: First year students from all faculties of Thamar University who were present during the sample collection and who signed consent form to participate in the study.

Exclusion Criteria: First-year students who are not available at the time of data collection due to different reasons (absence, sick leave, maternity leave etc) and students who declined to offer consent to the study.

Sample Size Determination: Sample size was calculated by EPi Info 7^{TM} using the STATCALC utility based on a 15.2% predicted frequency¹⁷, a 95% confidence level, 5% confidence limits, and a 90% response rate. Therefore, the sample size was planned

to be 196 students among all first-year students in the faculties of Thamar University (total=1724).

Sampling Method: The choosing for them by systematic random sample method among all Thamar University first year students from all faculties (196 students out of 1724) and according to gender was included within two groups [50% females and 50% males].

Data Collection: Data was collected by pretested structured questionnaire. The study variables include Socio economic factors (monthly income, Fathers and mothers education level etc.), demographic factors (residence, age, sex etc.) and potential risk factors of HBV infections (Previous history of surgical operations, a history of infected family, share of personal objects and blood transfusion etc.). The results of HBV markers that were detected, they were added to questionnaire chart. The potential risk factors were used as the independent variables, while the positive results of HBV markers was considered as the study outcome (dependent variables).

Collection of Blood sample: From each student; five mL of whole blood aseptically by venipuncture was collected. After clotting of the blood serum was separated by centrifugation. At -20° C sera specimens were kept until tested for the HBV markers.

Laboratory test: All samples were tested for Anti-HBs, Anti-HBc and HBsAg. Serological assays for of the HBsAg, Anti-HBsAg and total Anti-HBcAg serological markers were performed on the ELISA System (Roche Cobas e 411 analyzer) using the electrochemiluminescence immunoassay "ECLIA".

Statistical analysis: The data were analysed by performing Epi Info statistical program version 6 (CDC, Atlanta, USA). Conveying the quantitative data like mean values, and standard deviation (SD), as the data were normally distributed. The qualitative data were expressed as percentages; for comparison of two variables to determine the p value, the Chi square test was used. Odd ratio (OR) was used with 99% confidence interval. p value <0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

Ethical consideration: From all students consents were taken and they were informed that participation is voluntary and that they can refuse without giving any reason.

RESULTS

A total of 196 students participated in this study in their first academic year at Thamar University with the age range between 18 and 22 years and a mean age (\pm SD) age of 20.14 (\pm 1.09) years. Half 50% of the participants were male and nearly two-thirds 76% of them lives in urban areas.

Distribution of Anti-HBs level by gender: 22.4% of students had protective level against HBV, the percentage of males and females with low immunity were the same at 6.1%, while, the percentage of females who had adequate immunity and high immunity were 6.1 and 0.5 versus 2 and 1.5 of males respectively.

		subjects.				
	Total (No=196)	Protective level (No=44)	Non- Protective level (No=152)	χ2	95% CI (low-upper)	р
	No (%)	<u>No (%)</u>	No (%)	-		
Male	98 (50.0)	19 (19.4)	79 (80.6)	1.05	(0.25, 1.29)	0.30
Female	98 (50.0)	25 (25.5)	73 (74.5)	1.05	(0.35-1.38)	0.50
Urban	149(76.0)	35 (23.5)	114 (76.5)	0.28	(0.57-2.94)	0.53
Ruler	47 (24.0)	9 (19.1)	38 (80.9)	0.38		0.55
Single	183(93.4)	41 (22.4)	142 (77.6)	0.03	(0.19–4.9)	*1
Married	13 (6.6)	3 (23.1)	10 (76.9)			1
Yes	25 (12.8)	8 (32.0)	17 (68.0)	1.50	(0.70-4.41)	0.22
No	171(87.2)	36 (21.1)	135 (78.9)	1.50		
Yes	43 (21.9)	8 (18.6)	35 (81.4)	0.46	(0.31-1.74)	0.49
No	153(78.1)	36 (23.5)	117 (76.5)	0.40		
≤ 150 \$	119(60.7)	28 (23.5)	91 (76.5)	0.20	(0.58-2.35)	0.65
>150 \$	77 (39.3)	16 (20.8)	61 (79.2)	0.20		0.65
<secondary level<="" td=""><td>45 (23.0)</td><td>13 (28.9)</td><td>32 (71.1)</td><td>1 20</td><td rowspan="2">(0.73-3.34)</td><td>0.23</td></secondary>	45 (23.0)	13 (28.9)	32 (71.1)	1 20	(0.73-3.34)	0.23
≥Secondary level	151 (77.0)	31 (20.5)	120 (79.5)	1.39		0.25
<secondary level<="" td=""><td>122 (62.2)</td><td>22 (18.0)</td><td>100 (82.0)</td><td rowspan="2">3.88</td><td rowspan="2">(1.01-5.1)</td><td>**0.04</td></secondary>	122 (62.2)	22 (18.0)	100 (82.0)	3.88	(1.01-5.1)	**0.04
≥Secondary level	74 (37.8)	22 (29.7)	52 (70.3)			**0.04
	Female Urban Ruler Single Married Yes No Yes No ≤ 150 \$ >150 \$ <secondary level<br="">≥Secondary level</secondary>	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline (No=196) \\ \hline No (\%) \\ \hline Single 98 (50.0) \\ \hline Urban 149(76.0) \\ Ruler 47 (24.0) \\ \hline Single 183(93.4) \\ \hline Married 13 (6.6) \\ \hline Yes 25 (12.8) \\ \hline Married 13 (6.6) \\ \hline Yes 25 (12.8) \\ \hline No 171(87.2) \\ \hline Yes 43 (21.9) \\ \hline No 153(78.1) \\ \hline \le 150 \$ 119(60.7) \\ \hline >150 \$ 77 (39.3) \\ \hline \le Secondary level 45 (23.0) \\ \hline \ge Secondary level 151 (77.0) \\ \hline < Secondary level 122 (62.2) \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Total \\ (No=196) \\ \hline Total \\ (No=196) \\ \hline Protective \\ level \\ (No=44) \\ \hline No (\%) \\ \hline Single \\ 149(76.0) \\ 35 (23.5) \\ \hline Urban \\ 149(76.0) \\ 31 (22.4) \\ \hline Married \\ 13 (6.6) \\ 3 (23.1) \\ \hline Yes \\ 25 (12.8) \\ 8 (32.0) \\ \hline No \\ 171(87.2) \\ 36 (21.1) \\ \hline Yes \\ 43 (21.9) \\ 8 (18.6) \\ \hline No \\ 153(78.1) \\ 36 (23.5) \\ \hline \le 150 \ 119(60.7) \\ 28 (23.5) \\ \hline \le 150 \ 119(60.7) \\ 28 (23.5) \\ \hline \le 150 \ 119(60.7) \\ 28 (23.5) \\ \hline \le 150 \ 119(60.7) \\ 28 (23.5) \\ \hline \le 150 \ 119(60.7) \\ \hline 28 (23.5) \\ \hline \le 150 \ 119(60.7) \\ \hline 28 (23.5) \\ \hline \le 150 \ 119(60.7) \\ \hline 28 (23.5) \\ \hline \le 150 \ 119(60.7) \\ \hline 28 (23.5) \\ \hline \le 150 \ 119(60.7) \\ \hline 28 (23.5) \\ \hline \ 119(60.7) \\ \hline \ 28 (23.5) \\ \hline \ 119(60.7) \\ \hline \ 28 (23.5) \\ \hline \ 119(60.7) \\ \hline \ 28 (23.5) \\ \hline \ 119(60.7) \\ \hline \ 28 (23.5) \\ \hline \ 119(60.7) \\ \hline \ 28 (23.5) \\ \hline \ 119(60.7) \\ \hline \ 12 (20.5) \\ \hline \ \ \ 12 (20.5) \\ \hline \ \ \ 12 (20.5) \\ \hline \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Total (No=196) & Protective level level (No=196) & level (No=152) \\ \hline No (\%) & No (\%) & No (\%) & No (\%) \\ \hline Male 98 (50.0) & 19 (19.4) & 79 (80.6) \\ \hline Female 98 (50.0) & 25 (25.5) & 73 (74.5) \\ \hline Urban 149 (76.0) & 35 (23.5) & 114 (76.5) \\ \hline Ruler 47 (24.0) 9 (19.1) & 38 (80.9) \\ \hline Single 183 (93.4) & 41 (22.4) & 142 (77.6) \\ \hline Married 13 (6.6) & 3 (23.1) & 10 (76.9) \\ \hline Yes 25 (12.8) & 8 (32.0) & 17 (68.0) \\ \hline No 171 (87.2) & 36 (21.1) & 135 (78.9) \\ \hline Yes 43 (21.9) & 8 (18.6) & 35 (81.4) \\ \hline No 153 (78.1) & 36 (23.5) & 117 (76.5) \\ \hline \le 150 \ 119 (60.7) & 28 (23.5) & 91 (76.5) \\ \hline > 150 \ 77 (39.3) & 16 (20.8) & 61 (79.2) \\ \hline $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

 Table 1: Association between immune status against HBV and socio demographics characteristics of study subjects.

Protective anti-HBs= $\geq 10 \text{ mIU/ml}$; Non-protective anti-HBs =<10 mIU/ml; Chi-square (χ^2) ≥ 3.84 ; *=Fishers Exact Test; ** Multinomial logistic regression; *p* (Probability value) <0.05=(Statistically significant). CI=Confidence Interval ≥ 1 .

There was no statistically significant variation between both sexes (Table 2).

Distribution of Anti-HBs level by Age: Table 3 shows that no correlation was found between age and anti-HBs level *=Kruskal Wallis test; χ^2 =3.48, *p*=0.32. About 77.6% of students had anti-HBs titer <10 mIU/mL, 12.2% had between 10-100 mIU/mL, 8.2%

had between 100-1000 mIU/mL and only 2% above 1000 mIU/mL. There was no significant association between anti-HBc positive status and risk factors, except for family history of HBV infection χ^2 =9.74, (95% CI 1.74 –8.62); *p*=0.01. Being cupping showed a trend but not a statistically significant difference χ^2 =1.28 (95% CI 1.28–42.00) *p*=0.057 (Table 4).

Anti-HBs level	Total (N=196)	Male (N=98)	Female (N=98)	р	χ^2
	No (%)	No (%)	No (%)		
Non-Immune (<10 mIU/ml)	152 (77.6)	79 (40.3)	73 (37.2)	0.30	1.05
Low-Immune (10–100 mIU/ml)	24 (12.2)	12 (6.1)	12 (6.1)	1	1
Adequate - Immune (101–1000 mIU/ml)	16 (8.2)	4 (2.0)	12 (6.1)	0.06	3.33*
High- Immune (>1000 mIU/ml)	4 (2.0)	3 (1.5)	1 (0.5)	0.62	0.25*

Chi-square $(\chi^2) \ge 3.84$; * =Fishers Exact Test; *p* (Probability value) <0.05=(Statistically significant).

DISCUSSION

The present study showed that a high proportion of students had a non-protective (anti-HBs <10mIU/mL) titer of 77.6% against hepatitis B virus, while only 22.4% of the students had a protective titer (anti-HBs \geq 10 mIU/mL). The present findings of non-protective titer are consistent with those of Mosaad et al.,¹⁷ who reported that more than 84.80%, of medical students had no protection anti-HBs levels. In contrast to the present findings, two Iranian studies among dental hygienists and medical students showed that most of the students had a protective titer against hepatitis B virus of 93.6% and 95.1%, respectively^{19,20}. The difference in the rate of protection against hepatitis B virus can result from the difference in coverage of HBV vaccine among the target groups in the studies, the difference in the economic level, the geographical

and regional differences, and the consideration of the cold chain for vaccine storage, the vaccination periods, also from the number of vaccines injected, and the type of combination applied to assess the titer of HBs, the genetic variation of the participants, the sex, the greater obesity, the age, the place and method of injection as well as the nutritional status^{6,21}.

According to sero-analysis of hepatitis B markers, the present study showed that 19.9%, 75.51% of the students were vaccinated and susceptible, respectively. The overall prevalence of anti-HBc in this study was 4.6%. Similar rates have been reported in Iran 4.9%²² and Jordan $(2.0-4.1\%)^{23}$. Higher rates of anti-HBc positivity have been reported in studies conducted in Syria $(10.3\%)^{24}$. Of the 4.9% of current tested students with positive anti-HBc, 2.04% had unexplained cases (positive anti-HBc and negative anti-HBs).

Table 5: Distribution of Anti-HDS level by Age of study subjects (II=190).								
	Total		Age/Year					р
Anti-HBs level	(N=196)	18	19	20	21	22	*Kruskal Wallis Test	
	No (%)	No (%)	No (%)	No (%)	No (%)	No (%)	wants Test	
Non-Immune (<10 mIU/ml)	152 (77.6)	4 (2.0)	38 (19.4)	62 (31.6)	25 (12.8)	23 (11.7)		
Low-Immune (10–100 mIU/ml)	24 (12.2)	3(1.5)	5 (2.6)	12(6.1)	2 (1.0)	2 (1.0)	- 3.48 -	0.32
Adequate-Immune (101–1000 mIU/ml)	16 (8.2)	1(0.5)	5 (2.6)	4 (2.0)	2 (1.0)	4 (2.0)		
High- Immune (>1000 mIU/ml)	4 (2.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (1.0)	1 (0.5)	1 (0.5)		

Table 3: Distribution of Anti-HBs level by Age of study subjects (n=196).

p (Probability value) <0.05=(Statistically significant), *Kruskal wallis test

Pattern of infection with hepatitis B virus, complete recovery from acute and chronic hepatitis B correlated with loss of HBsAg and appearance of anti-HBs in serum. Thus, anti-HBc is usually accompanied by HBsAg or anti-HBs. On the other hand, this pattern is one of the more confusing HBV results and can have several possible interpretations such as resolving acute HBV infection, i.e., in the period between HBsAg loss and detectable anti-HBs development, false-positive results, in chronic and past infections as well as anti-HBc alone is the most common seromarker in Occult hepatitis B virus infection (OBI) individuals. The incidence of OBI in anti-HBc (+) but anti-HBs (-) blood donors has been reported to be as high as 7–15% making this an important clinical issue, therefore, screening for anti-HBc can help to identify OBI²⁵⁻²⁷. As well, in this study family history of HBV infection was significantly associated with anti-HBc positive status. This finding is consistent with recent study conducted in Ethiopia²⁸. However, Risk factors such as male gender, surgical operations, dental procedures, blood transfusion and sharing shaving instruments were not significantly associated with anti-HBc positivity (Table 4).

Table 4: Association between risk factors and anti-HBc status in study subjects.
--

Protective anti-HBs= ≥ 10 mIU/ml; Non-protective anti-HBs =<10 mIU/ml; Chi-square (χ^2) ≥ 3.84 ; * =Fishers Exact Test;** Multinomial logistic

Variable		Frequencies (No=196)	Anti-HBc (+) No=9 (4.6%)	χ ²	95% CI (low-upper)	р
		No (%)	No (%)			
Sex	Male	96 (50.0)	4 (4.1)	0.11	(0.20–3.04)	*1
Sex	Female	96 (50.0)	5.1(5)	0.11		
Marital status	Single	183 (93.4)	9 (4.9)	0.67	(0.03-1.11)	*1
	Married	13 (6.6)	0 (0.0)	0.67		
Dessiving UDV vessing before	Yes	54 (27.5)	5 (9.3)	2 70	(0.07-1.10)	*0.11
Receiving HBV vaccine before	No	142 (72.5)	4 (2.8)	3.70		
C	Yes	49 (25.0)	1 (2.0)	0.07	(0.04 –2.97)	*0.45
Surgical operations	No	147 (75.0)	8 (5.4)	0.97		
History of hospitalization	Yes	50 (25.5)	2 (4.0)	0.05	(0.16 -4.12)	*1
	No	146 (74.5)	7 (4.8)			
Dental procedures	Yes	103 (52.6)	3 (2.9)	1.37	(0.10-1.79)	*0.31
	No	93 (47.4)	6 (6.5)			
Blood transfusion	Yes	14 (7.1)	3 (21.4)	0.45	(0.25-20.64)	**0.46
	No	182 (92.9)	6 (3.3)	0.45	(0.23-20.04)	
Sharing shaving instruments	Yes	112 (57.1)	5 (4.5)	0.01	(0.24-3.05)	*1
	No	84 (42.9)	4 (4.8)		(0.24- 3.03)	.1
Cupping	Yes	9 (4.6)	2 (22.2)	2.0	(1.29.4.00)	**0.05
	No	187(95.4)	7 (3.7)	3.9	(1.28 - 4.00)	
Family history of HBV infection	Yes	23 (11.7)	4 (17.4)	9.74	(1.74 8.62)	**0.01
	No	173 (88.3	5 (2.9)			

regression; p (Probability value) <0.05=(Statistically significant). CI=Confidence Interval ≥ 1 .

Serological tests for hepatitis B markers also showed that only 11/54 of the students who said they had received the HBV vaccine were vaccinated, whereas the majority of them were susceptible 39/54. A possible interpretation is that the antibody titer drastically decreased with time. In addition, 3–20% known vaccinated failure rate, which can be attributed to vaccine factors (e.g. type, dose, schedule and injection site) or host factors (e.g. male sex, smoking, and chronic illness)^{6,23}. However, the cases where the subjects were vaccinated and had low or undetected

titer cannot be interpreted as having vaccine failure for two main reasons: first, to indicate vaccine failure, post-vaccination testing must be performed within 1-2 months after the third dose of the vaccine has been administered; second, the current study did not explore their memory cells to show if they still had anti-HBs antibody-secreting cells^{29,30}. The level of anti-HBs among the study subjects was not significantly affected by age, as the range was narrow enough, 18-22 years, not to show any statistical difference. These results are in agreement with the results of AL-Shamahy *et al.*,^{6,7} and not agreement with results of many studies that showed had proven antibody levels decrease over time and increasing age^{31,32}. A decrease in the level of anti-HB in the blood mainly indicates a decrease in protection and the necessitate for a booster dose of the hepatitis B vaccine. As per WHO recommendations, booster immunization for hepatitis B virus is not suggested and protection lasts for at least 20 years, and probably lifelong. Nevertheless, numerous studies emphasize the importance of booster doses for stimulating the memory immune system and maintaining a higher protective rate of anti-HBs. Boosters will activate immune memory and provide reassurance of protective immunity against superinfection^{33,34}. The present study showed slightly higher protective rate of anti-HBs antibody in females (25%) compared to males (19.4%) but this variation was not significant (p=0.30). The same findings were reported in previous studies conducted in Yemen⁶, and other countries^{35,36}; Gender differences might be due to the opposite effects of sex hormone androgen and estrogen. Moreover, on the Y chromosome. Estrogen activates monocyte to secrete IL-10, which induces Immunoglobulin G (IgG) and Immunoglobulin M (IgM) secretion through B-cells in turn, while testosterone damages the production of IgG and IgM from B-lymphocytes, as well as restrains producing IL-6 from monocyte 37 .

The results of this study showed that there is a statistical significance between the immunological status of the students and the education of the mother (p=0.04) (Table 1), and most of the mothers of unprotected students had a level of education less than secondary (82%). A study previously conducted in Yemen showed that the social and economic status and lack of education, in many regions of Yemen are interrelated factors that affect the growth of children⁶. In this study. This result was in agreement with study conducted by Peces et al.,³⁸ and it was not in agreement with a similar study conducted by Alavian et $al.,^{20}$ which showed that there is a relationship between smoking and decreased immune response to the HBV vaccine. Chronic diseases such as autoimmune hepatitis and kidney failure are risk factors for vaccine non-response and reduced body immunity^{39,40}.

However, in the current study there is no significant relationship between the history of chronic diseases and the immunological status of the students. Perhaps this is because the subjects who took part in the study were young, and there were no common chronic diseases among them.

Limitation of the study

The main limitations of this study included small sample size, and potential self-reporting errors in the questionnaire. Nevertheless, this study could serve as a bridgehead for further studies with larger sample sizes to test the findings discussed.

CONCLUSION

The low prevalence of hepatitis B protection levels among Thamar University medical students needs further research and necessitates the implementation of a screening and vaccination program for all nonimmunized healthcare students.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Thamar University, Dhamar city, Yemen for the support.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS

This research is part of a master's degree in the Biology Department, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Thamar University. **Al Makdad ASM:** writing original draft, methodology. **Al-Mutaa NAM:** field work, lab work. **Al-Haifi AY:** statistical analysis, conceptualization. **Al-Shamahy HA:** editing, methodology, supervision. All the authors approved the finished version of the manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Data will be made available on reasonable request.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest associated with this work.

REFERENCES

- 1. CDC. Hepatitis B. accessed on 5 January 2023.
- Hanash SH, Al-Shamahy HA, Bamshmous MHS. Prevalence and genotyping of hepatitis C virus in hemodialysis patients and evaluation of HCV-core antigen test in screening patients for dialysis in Sana'a city, Yemen. Universal J Pharm Res 2019; 4(2): 14-18. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v4i2.251
- Al-Shawkany EM, AlShawkany ARM, Al-Shamahy HA, et al. Prevalence of different hepatitis B virus genotypes and risk factors associated among selected Yemeni patients with chronic hepatitis B infection. Universal J Pharm Res 2021; 6 (3):1-8. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v6i3.603
- Rabbad IA, Al-Somainy AAM, Al-Shamahy HA, Nasser SM. Prevalence of hepatitis G virus infection among chronic hepatitis B, chronic hepatitis C and HIV patients in Sana'a, Yemen. J Chinese Clin Med 2014; 5 (11): 654-658.
- Al-Shamahy HA, Abdu SSA. Genotyping of Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) in infected patients from Yemen. Eur J Basic Med Sci 2014; 3(4):78-82. https://doi.org/10.15197/sabad.2.3.15
- Al-Shamahy HA, Hanash SH, Rabbad IA, Al-Madhaji NM, Naser SM. Hepatitis B Vaccine Coverage and the Immune Response in Children under ten years old in Sana'a, Yemen. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J 201; 11(1):77-82. PMID: 21509212
- Al-Shamahy HA, Rabbad IA, Al-Hababy A. Hepatitis B virus serum markers among pregnant women in Sana'a, Yemen. Ann Saudi Med 2003; 23:87-89. https://doi.org/10.5144/0256-4947.2003.87
- AL-Shamahy HA. Prevalence of Hepatitis B surface antigen and Risk factors of HBV infection in a sample of healthy mothers and their infants in Sana'a, Yemen. Ann Saudi Medicine 2000; 20: 464-467. https://doi.org/10.5144/0256-4947.2000.464
- Al-kadassy AM, Al-Ashiry AFS, Al-Shamahy HA. Seroepidemiological study of hepatitis B, C, HIV and *Treponema pallidum* among blood donors in Hodeida city-Yemen. Universal J Pharm Res 2019; 4(2):1-6. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v4i2.256

- 10. AL-Marrani WHM and Al-Shamahy HA. Prevalence of HBV and HCV; and their associated risk factors among public health center cleaners at selected public health centers in Sana'a city-Yemen. Universal J Pharm Res 2018; 3(5):1-8. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v3i5.204
- 11. Al-Shamahy HA, Ajrah MA, Al-Madhaji AG, et al. Prevalence and potential risk factors of hepatitis B virus in a sample of children in two selected areas in Yemen. Universal J Pharm Res 2019; 4(3): 1-5. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v4i3.269
- 12. Amran OAA, Al-Shamahy HA, Al Hadad AM, Jaadan BM. Explosion of hepatitis B and C viruses among hemodialysis patients as a result of hemodialysis crisis in Yemen. Universal J Pharm Res 2019; 4(5):1-6. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v4i5.311
- 13. Al-Dabis EM, Al-Shamahy HA, Al-Hadad MMS, Al-Shamahi EH. Prevalence of hepatitis G virus among patients with chronic liver disease and healthy individuals, sana'a city-Yemen. Universal J Pharm Res 2019; 3(6):1-5. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v3i6.216
- 14. Al-Shami HZ, Al-Mutawakal ZA, Al-Shamahy HA, et al. Prevalence of hepatitis A virus, hepatitis B virus, and hepatitis C virus, among patients with hepatic jaundice in Sana'a city, Yemen: A hospital based study. Universal J Pharm Res 2022; 6(6):1-6. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v6i6.693
- 15. Edrees WH, Al-Ofairi BA, Alrahabi LM, et al. Seroprevalence of the viral markers of hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and HIV among medical waste handlers in some hospitals in Sana'a city- Yemen. Universal J Pharm Res 2022; 7(3): 1-5. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v7i3.774
- 16. WHO. Global market study HBV-World Health Organization (WHO). https://cdn.who.int. immunization.
- 17. Mosaad M, Al Nozha OM, Yamany H, Amer SA. Survey of hepatitis B immune status of Taibah University medical students. J Taibah Univ Med Sci 2014; 9(4): 301-306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtumed.2014.05.006
- 18. Namdari S, Arabsolghar R, Sharifzadeh S, et al. Anti-HBs antibody levels and anti-HBc detection among HBVvaccinated freshmen enrolled in the department of laboratory sciences, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Iran. Shiraz E-Med J 2018;19(7):e64831. https://doi.org/10.5812/semj.64831
- 19. Mansour Ghanaei F, Falah MS, Jaafar Shad R, et al. The immunologic response to anti-hepatitis B vaccination among medical students of Guilan University of medical sciences, Guilan, Iran. Hepatitis Monthly 2006;6(2):63-66.
- 20. Alavian SM, Mahboobi N, Mahboobi N. Anti-HBs antibody status and some of its associated factors in dental health care workers in Tehran University of Medical Sciences: Anti-HBs Ab and associated factors in dental society. Hepat Mon 2011; 11(2):99-102. PMID: 22087125.
- 21. Zehni K, Rokhzadi M Z, Mohmodi S H, Ashjardalan A. Vaccination and immunity status against hepatitis B among students of nursing and midwifery faculty of Kurdistan University of medical sciences. Life Sci J 2013, 10(7s):23-8. http://www.lifesciencesite.com
- 22. Karimi G, Zadsar M, Vafaei N, Sharifi Z, FalahTafti M. Prevalence of antibody to Hepatitis B core antigen and Hepatitis B virus DNA in HBsAg negative healthy blood donors. Virol J 2016; 5:13:36. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12985-016-0492-8
- 23. Souan L, Siag M, Al-Salahat H et al. Changing trends in seroprevalence rates of transfusion-transmitted diseases among blood donors in Jordan. BMC Infect Dis 2021; 21:508. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-021-06196-3
- 24. Muselmani W, Habbal W, Monem F. Prevalence of "anti-HBc alone" among Syrian blood donors. The J Infec Developing Count 2014; 8(08), 1013-1015. https://doi.org/10.3855/jidc.3827
- 25. Grob P, Jilg W, Bornhak H, et al. Serological pattern "anti-HBc alone": Report on a workshop. J Med Virol 2000; 62(4), 450-455.

https://doi.org/10.1002/1096-9071(200012)62:4<450::aidjmv9>3.0.co;2-y

26. Knöll A, Hartmann A, Hamoshi H, Weislmaier K, Jilg W. Serological pattern "anti-HBc alone": Characterization of 552 individuals and clinical significance. World J Gastroenterol 2006; 12(8):1255-60.

https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v12.i8.1255

- 27. Raimondo G, Locarnini S, Pollicino T, Levrero M, Zoulim F, Lok AS; Taormina Workshop on Occult HBV Infection Faculty Members. Update of the statements on biology and clinical impact of occult hepatitis B virus infection. J Hepatol 2019; 71(2):397-408. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2019.03.034
- 28. Mohammed H, Eshetie A, Melese D. Prevalence of hepatitis B virus and associated risk factors among adults patients at Dessie referral and Kemise general hospitals in northeastern Ethiopia. Health Sci Rep. 2022 May 22;5(3):e659. https://doi.org/10.1002/hsr2.659
- 29. Valats JC, Tuaillon E, Funakoshi N, et al. Investigation of memory B cell responses to hepatitis B surface antigen in health care workers considered as non-responders to vaccination. Vaccine 2010;28(39):6411-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.07.058
- 30. Werner JM, Abdalla A, Gara N, Ghany MG, Rehermann B. The hepatitis B vaccine protects re-exposed health care workers, but does not provide sterilizing immunity. Gastroenterology. 2013; 145(5):1026-34. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2013.07.044
- 31. Komatsu H, Klenerman P, Thimme R. Discordance of hepatitis B vaccination policies for healthcare workers between the USA, the UK, and Germany. Hepatol Res. 2020; 50(3):272-282 https://doi.org/10.1111/hepr.13470.
- 32. Verso MG, Costantino C, Marrella A, Immordino P, Vitale F, Amodio E. Kinetics of anti-hepatitis B surface antigen titers in nurse students after a two-year follow-up. vaccines (Basel). 2020; 8(3):467. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines8030467
- 33. Zhu FC, Sun KX, Pan HX, et al. The immunogenicity in healthy infants and efficiency to prevent mother to child transmission of Hepatitis B virus of a 10µg recombinant yeast-derived Hepatitis B vaccine (Hep-KSC). Vaccine 2016; 34(24):2656-62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.04.042

34. Mahallawi W. Persistence of hepatitis B surface antibody and immune memory to hepatitis B vaccine among medical college students in Madinah. Ann Saudi Med 2018; 38(6):413-419. https://doi.org/10.5144/0256-4947.2018.413

- 35. Dumaidi K, Al-Jawabreh A. Persistence of Anti-HBs Among Palestinian Medical Students After 18-22 Years of Vaccination: A Cross-Sectional Study. Hepat Mon 2015; 15(11):e29325. https://doi.org/10.5812/hepatmon.29325
- 36. Sahana HV, Sarala N, Prasad SR. Decrease in Anti-HBs Antibodies over Time in Medical Students and Healthcare Workers after Hepatitis B Vaccination. Biomed Res Int 2017; 2017:1327492. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/1327492
- 37. Yang S, Tian G, Cui Y, Ding C, et al. Factors influencing immunologic response to hepatitis B vaccine in adults. Sci Rep 2016; 6:27251. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep27251
- 38. Peces R, Laurés AS. Persistence of immunologic memory in long-term hemodialysis patients and healthcare workers given hepatitis B vaccine: Role of a booster dose on antibody response. Nephron 2001; 89(2):172-6. https://doi.org/10.1159/000046064
- 39. Kubba AK, Taylor P, Graneek B, Strobel S. Non-responders to hepatitis B vaccination: A review. Commun Dis Public Health 2003; 6(2):106-12. PMID: 12889288.
- 40. Noh KW, Poland GA, Murray JA. Hepatitis B vaccine nonresponse and celiac disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2003; 98(10):2289-92. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1572-0241.2003.07701.x